Journal Of Emotions, Relationships And Psychology

P ISSN: xxxx-xxx

VOL.1-NO.1-2026

E ISSN: xxxx-xxx

ATTACHMENT STYLES, TRUST, AND COMMUNICATION IN ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIPS

Sumaira Ameen

BS, Department of English, The Cosmic Degree College (For Women) ,University of Okara

ABSTRACT

Romantic relationships are shaped by emotional security, mutual trust, communication patterns, and the attachment expectations individuals bring into intimate partnerships. Attachment theory provides a valuable framework for understanding how people seek closeness, respond to emotional distance, manage conflict, and interpret their partners' behavior. This research paper examines the relationship between adult attachment styles, trust, and communication in romantic relationships, focusing particularly on secure, anxious, avoidant, and fearful attachment patterns. It explores how attachment-related expectations influence trust development, emotional disclosure, conflict management, reassurance-seeking, and relationship satisfaction. The paper adopts a proposed mixed-method research design combining standardized questionnaires with semi-structured interviews among adults currently involved in romantic relationships. The proposed quantitative component examines associations among attachment insecurity, perceived partner trustworthiness, and constructive or destructive communication, while the qualitative component explores participants' lived experiences of emotional security, uncertainty, and conflict. Existing literature suggests that secure attachment is generally associated with greater relational confidence and constructive communication, whereas attachment anxiety and avoidance may create different challenges in maintaining trust and emotional closeness. Recent research also emphasizes the importance of communication patterns in explaining associations between attachment insecurity and relationship satisfaction. Nevertheless, attachment styles should not be considered fixed personality labels, and relationship outcomes depend on contextual factors, partner behavior, cultural expectations, and previous experiences.

Keywords: *Attachment styles, romantic relationships, interpersonal trust, communication patterns, attachment anxiety, attachment avoidance, emotional security, relationship satisfaction, conflict management, intimacy.*

INTRODUCTION

Romantic relationships constitute an important aspect of adult emotional and social life. They provide companionship, affection, emotional support, intimacy, and opportunities for personal development. Healthy romantic partnerships can help individuals manage stress, develop a sense of belonging, and experience emotional stability. However, romantic relationships can also become sources of uncertainty, disappointment, misunderstanding, and psychological distress when partners experience difficulties establishing trust or communicating their emotional needs. Devisetty, D., & Animisetty, M. (2025). found that The quality of a romantic relationship is therefore influenced not only by attraction or commitment but also by the psychological expectations and interpersonal behaviors that partners bring into the relationship.One influential framework for understanding these dynamics is attachment theory. Originally developed through the work of John Bowlby and expanded by Mary Ainsworth and subsequent researchers, attachment theory explains how relationships with important caregivers contribute to expectations about the availability, reliability, and responsiveness of significant others. These expectations may influence how individuals approach intimacy and respond to the possibility of rejection or separation. In adulthood, attachment-related processes are especially relevant to romantic partnerships because romantic partners frequently become important sources of emotional support, reassurance, and security.Hazan and Shaver (1987) extended attachment theory to adult

romantic relationships, suggesting that emotional bonds between romantic partners share important characteristics with early attachment relationships. Their work helped establish adult attachment as a major area of relationship research. Subsequent studies have developed more detailed approaches to measuring attachment, particularly through the dimensions of attachment anxiety and attachment avoidance.

Attachment styles are relevant because individuals do not enter romantic relationships without previous experiences or expectations. Experiences of consistent care, rejection, emotional unavailability, betrayal, or instability may influence how people interpret their partners' actions. For example, a delayed message may be interpreted as an ordinary inconvenience by one person but as evidence of rejection by another. Similarly, a request for emotional space may be understood as a healthy need for independence or interpreted as a sign that the relationship is deteriorating. Such differences in interpretation can influence communication, emotional regulation, and trust.Trust is another central element of romantic relationship functioning. Giacobbi, M., & Lalot, F. (2025). Explained that It refers broadly to the willingness to rely on a partner and the expectation that the partner will act with honesty, care, consistency, and consideration. Trust enables people to express vulnerabilities, discuss difficult subjects, make shared decisions, and depend on one another during stressful circumstances. Campbell and Stanton (2019) explain that trust can be understood both as an aspect of individuals' attachment-related expectations and as something that develops within a particular relationship. This distinction is important because an individual's previous expectations may influence initial trust, while the partner's actual behavior can strengthen or weaken trust over time.Communication connects attachment and trust in everyday relationship experiences. Partners communicate through spoken language, listening, emotional expression, physical affection, digital messages, and nonverbal behavior. Constructive communication allows individuals to explain their needs, clarify misunderstandings, negotiate boundaries, and resolve disagreements.

The interaction among attachment, trust, and communication is therefore complex. An individual with high attachment anxiety may seek frequent reassurance when feeling uncertain. If the partner responds with patience and clarity, the interaction may increase security. If the partner responds with contempt or unexplained withdrawal, the anxious individual may experience greater distress. Conversely, a person with high attachment avoidance may prefer to manage distress independently and may withdraw during emotionally intense conversations. The partner may interpret this withdrawal as indifference or dishonesty, creating additional conflict. These examples illustrate how individual expectations and interpersonal responses can reinforce one another.Adult attachment is commonly examined through secure and insecure patterns. Although some popular accounts describe four categorical styles, contemporary research often measures attachment anxiety and avoidance as continuous dimensions. People can show different levels of each dimension, and their responses may vary across relationships and circumstances. Consequently, attachment styles are best understood as useful psychological patterns rather than permanent categories that determine behavior in every situation.Secure attachment generally involves comfort with emotional closeness, an ability to seek support when needed, and confidence that disagreements can be managed without necessarily threatening the relationship. Securely attached individuals may be more willing to communicate concerns directly, recognize their partners' independence, and recover from misunderstandings. Security does not mean that

individuals never feel jealous, angry, disappointed, or afraid. Rather, it suggests greater confidence in managing these experiences without consistently relying on extreme reassurance-seeking or emotional withdrawal.Anxious attachment involves heightened concerns about rejection, abandonment, or the loss of a partner's affection. Individuals with higher attachment anxiety may closely monitor changes in communication, seek reassurance, or interpret ambiguous behavior as a threat to the relationship. These responses often reflect an attempt to restore emotional security.

Avoidant attachment is commonly associated with discomfort regarding dependence, vulnerability, or excessive emotional closeness. Individuals with higher attachment avoidance may place strong value on independence and may distance themselves when conversations become emotionally intense. This tendency does not necessarily mean that they lack affection or commitment. Rather, they may be less comfortable expressing vulnerability or relying on others for emotional regulation. If withdrawal becomes the primary response to conflict, however, partners may struggle to discuss unresolved problems.Fearful or disorganized attachment is often used in broader descriptions of attachment patterns involving both a desire for closeness and a fear of emotional harm. In adult romantic relationships, this may appear as alternating between seeking intimacy and withdrawing from it. Such patterns can make trust particularly difficult when previous experiences have contributed to expectations that closeness may result in rejection, betrayal, or loss of control. Nevertheless, this pattern should be assessed carefully rather than inferred from a few isolated behaviors.Bartholomew and Horowitz (1991) proposed a four-category model based on positive or negative models of the self and others. Their framework helps explain how people may approach relationships with different expectations about their own worthiness of love and the reliability of other people. Later dimensional approaches, including the Experiences in Close Relationships measure developed by Brennan, Clark, and Shaver (1998), have enabled researchers to examine attachment anxiety and avoidance more precisely.Emotional security is a person's sense that a relationship can provide support, acceptance, and stability without requiring the suppression of personal needs. It allows partners to be vulnerable while maintaining their individuality. Secure attachment can facilitate this experience because individuals may be more confident that a disagreement does not automatically mean rejection and that requesting support does not necessarily threaten independence.

Attachment insecurity can influence emotional security through different pathways. Anxiously attached individuals may experience strong distress when the partner is unavailable or less expressive than expected. Avoidantly attached individuals may experience discomfort when a partner requests extensive emotional disclosure or dependence. In either case, the emotional response may become part of a recurring interaction pattern. One partner's reassurance-seeking can be followed by the other's withdrawal, which then increases the first partner's anxiety.Fraley (2019) emphasizes that adult attachment research continues to examine how attachment develops, changes, and functions across close relationships. Attachment-related expectations may show continuity, but they are not necessarily immutable. Consistent support, constructive relationship experiences, personal reflection, and psychological intervention may contribute to changes in how individuals manage intimacy and emotional distress.The importance of emotional security extends beyond the absence of conflict. Partners can disagree frequently while maintaining a stable relationship if they communicate respectfully, repair misunderstandings, and acknowledge one another's needs.

Conversely, a relationship with few visible disagreements may still lack emotional security if one partner is afraid to express dissatisfaction. Therefore, the quality of emotional interaction is often more informative than the frequency of disagreement alone.

RESEARCH QUESTION

1. How are adult attachment styles associated with interpersonal trust and communication patterns in romantic relationships, and how do these factors relate to relationship satisfaction?

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

1. To examine the relationships among adult attachment styles, interpersonal trust, and communication patterns in romantic relationships and to explore how these factors are associated with relationship satisfaction.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Attachment theory provides the principal theoretical foundation for understanding the relationship between emotional expectations and interpersonal behavior. Bowlby (1982) argued that attachment relationships serve important protective and emotional functions and that repeated experiences with caregivers contribute to internal working models of the self and others. These models help individuals anticipate whether important people will be available, responsive, and supportive when needed.Ainsworth et al. (1978) provided influential empirical work on attachment patterns in infancy. Although infant attachment classifications should not be directly equated with adult romantic attachment categories, the broader theoretical emphasis on security, proximity, and responsiveness influenced subsequent adult research. Jaffar, A., Ali, F., & Awais, M. (2025). Explains that Researchers later examined how attachment-related expectations operate in relationships involving romantic intimacy and emotional dependence.Hazan and Shaver (1987) were central to this extension of attachment theory. Their research suggested that romantic love can function as an attachment process and that adults differ in the security and anxiety they experience in intimate relationships. Their contribution helped establish that romantic relationships are not explained exclusively by attraction, personality compatibility, or social circumstances. They also involve emotional expectations about availability, care, and separation.Bartholomew and Horowitz (1991) expanded this literature through a model involving secure, preoccupied, dismissing, and fearful patterns.

Brennan et al. (1998) contributed to the measurement of adult attachment through the Experiences in Close Relationships framework. Their dimensional approach focuses on attachment anxiety and avoidance. Anxiety concerns fears of rejection and abandonment, whereas avoidance concerns discomfort with intimacy and dependence. These dimensions offer a flexible approach because individuals can vary in both rather than necessarily belonging to a single fixed category.Fraley (2019) reviewed developments and unresolved debates in adult attachment research, emphasizing that attachment processes remain an active field of theoretical and empirical investigation. Important questions include how attachment security changes over time, how relationship experiences influence attachment, and how

researchers should understand individual differences across different relational contexts.Taken together, these theoretical contributions suggest that attachment is best understood as a framework for interpreting emotional responses and relationship expectations. It can help explain patterns of reassurance-seeking, withdrawal, and vulnerability, but it should not be used as a deterministic label. Jaiswal, L. K., Nayak, T., Kakkar, A., Kandwal, G., Vashishtha, S., Singh, K., ... & Gupta, A. (2025). revealed that Individual behavior also depends on current circumstances, learned skills, the partner's conduct, and the specific demands of a relationship.Secure attachment is commonly associated with greater comfort regarding intimacy, more flexible responses to interpersonal stress, and a willingness to seek and provide support. Securely attached individuals may be more able to discuss concerns without assuming that disagreement necessarily signals abandonment. They can value closeness while respecting the need for independence, allowing partners to maintain both connection and individuality.

Security does not imply the absence of jealousy, anger, insecurity, or disappointment. Rather, secure individuals may be better able to regulate such emotions and communicate their meaning. They may say that a particular behavior made them feel uncertain instead of immediately accusing the partner of betrayal. This distinction can help maintain a discussion focused on the actual event and the needs of both individuals.Secure attachment may also contribute to trust development. People who expect others to be reasonably responsive may be more willing to give a new relationship opportunities to develop. However, healthy trust should remain responsive to evidence. Security does not require ignoring dishonesty, accepting repeated violations of boundaries, or remaining in an unsafe relationship.The literature therefore supports understanding secure attachment as a potential resource for relationship functioning rather than a guarantee of relationship success. Secure partners can still encounter serious incompatibilities or external pressures. Their attachment-related strengths may make constructive negotiation more accessible, but outcomes also depend on mutual respect, circumstances, and behavior. Lefebvre, A. A., Brassard, A., Jean, M., Daspe, M. È., Lafontaine, M. F., & Péloquin, K. (2026). Elaborated on Attachment anxiety involves heightened concern about the availability and commitment of significant others. Individuals with elevated anxiety may be particularly sensitive to ambiguous interpersonal signals, including changes in tone, delayed responses, reduced affection, or uncertainty about future plans. Such sensitivity may encourage active attempts to restore closeness but can also contribute to persistent worry.In romantic relationships, reassurance-seeking may serve an understandable emotional function.

Attachment anxiety may also influence trust judgments. Anxious individuals may have difficulty feeling secure even when a partner's behavior is generally consistent. Conversely, actual inconsistency or betrayal can reasonably increase anxiety and distrust. Researchers must therefore distinguish between disproportionate threat interpretations and concerns supported by observable behavior.Communication can either reduce or intensify these difficulties. A partner who responds calmly, provides accurate information, and acknowledges emotional concerns may help create greater predictability. A partner who uses ridicule, threats, or unexplained withdrawal may intensify uncertainty. However, constructive reassurance should not require unlimited access to private information or the abandonment of reasonable personal boundaries.Anxious attachment may thus be associated with challenges in trust and communication, but the relationship is not inevitable or uniform. Individual

coping skills, partner responsiveness, and the quality of the relationship can influence how anxiety is expressed and managed.Attachment avoidance involves discomfort with dependence and emotional closeness. Individuals with elevated avoidance may prefer to regulate distress independently and may limit emotional disclosure, especially when they feel pressured or criticized. In some situations, this preference supports autonomy. In others, it can make the resolution of relational problems more difficult.Trust within avoidant attachment requires careful interpretation. An avoidant individual may trust a partner's practical reliability while remaining reluctant to share private emotions. Therefore, low emotional disclosure does not necessarily establish an absence of trust. It may reflect learned expectations, personal preferences, cultural norms, or concern about vulnerability.Nevertheless, persistent withdrawal can affect a partner's sense of security. When an important concern is repeatedly dismissed or a discussion is abandoned without agreement to return to it, the other partner may interpret the behavior as rejection. This can create a demand-withdraw cycle in which one person presses for engagement while the other increasingly seeks distance.A useful distinction exists between taking a temporary break to regulate emotions and refusing to address problems.

Attachment avoidance should not be equated automatically with selfishness, lack of love, or intentional manipulation. Its implications depend on the individual's behavior, the couple's expectations, and the extent to which both partners can negotiate emotional needs.Fearful attachment is often described as a combination of strong concerns about rejection and discomfort with closeness. People experiencing this pattern may want intimacy but simultaneously fear the vulnerability that intimacy creates. This tension may be especially pronounced when previous experiences have involved betrayal, rejection, or inconsistent emotional support.Within romantic relationships, the combination of attachment anxiety and avoidance can contribute to inconsistent responses. A person may seek reassurance during moments of uncertainty but retreat when the partner responds with emotional intensity. The partner may find it difficult to understand whether closeness is welcomed or threatening.Trust may be challenging because the individual expects both emotional connection and potential harm. Vanderbilt, R. R., Brinberg, M., & Lu, Y. (2025). found thatCommunication may therefore involve hesitation, indirect expressions of need, or difficulty sustaining vulnerable discussions. These experiences are not universal, and researchers should avoid assigning a fearful attachment pattern solely on the basis of inconsistent behavior.A careful approach recognizes that people can learn new ways of communicating and responding to vulnerability. Consistent boundaries, predictable behavior, respectful dialogue, and appropriate professional support may help individuals develop more secure relationship expectations. The literature suggests that attachment patterns are useful for understanding possible difficulties, not for predicting every aspect of a person's relationship behavior.

Trust is a multidimensional concept involving expectations of reliability, honesty, dependability, and goodwill. In romantic relationships, these expectations affect how partners interpret uncertainty and decide whether to rely on each other. Trust also develops through relationship-specific experiences, which means it may differ across partners and change over time.Campbell and Stanton (2019) explain that attachment theory and interdependence theory offer complementary perspectives on trust. Attachment theory highlights the role of relatively enduring expectations, whereas interdependence approaches emphasize how trust develops through interactions within a specific relationship. These perspectives help explain why an

individual may initially approach intimacy cautiously but become more trusting after repeated experiences of reliability.Trust should not be viewed simply as an internal feeling. It is also a judgment about another person's conduct. Ginalska, K., & Cichopek, A. (2025). found that A partner who repeatedly breaks agreements may provide legitimate reasons for reduced trust. The person experiencing distrust should not automatically be described as insecure or excessively anxious. Conversely, a trustworthy partner cannot guarantee that all fears rooted in previous experiences will disappear immediately.This distinction has implications for research measurement. Studies should distinguish general attachment anxiety from trust in a particular partner and should, where possible, assess actual relationship experiences. They should also consider relationship duration, prior betrayal, and the consistency of partner behavior.Trust may function as both an outcome and a process. It can be strengthened by constructive communication and consistent behavior, and it can make constructive communication easier by reducing fear of humiliation or rejection.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The proposed study will use a mixed-method, cross-sectional correlational design to investigate the relationships among adult attachment styles, interpersonal trust, communication patterns, and relationship satisfaction. The quantitative component will measure the main constructs using standardized self-report questionnaires, while the qualitative component will use semi-structured interviews to explore how participants understand emotional security, trust, misunderstandings, and conflict in their relationships. The target population will consist of adults aged 18 years and above who are currently involved in a romantic relationship, including dating, engaged, and married participants. A proposed sample of approximately 200–300 participants may be recruited through universities, community networks, and online invitations, depending on available resources and the results of a formal sample-size calculation. Purposive and convenience sampling may be used for an initial exploratory study, although this approach will limit the representativeness of the findings. Recruitment should seek diversity in age, gender, relationship duration, education, and relationship status. Participants will receive an information sheet explaining the purpose of the study, the voluntary nature of participation, confidentiality procedures, and their right to withdraw without penalty. The quantitative questionnaire will collect relevant demographic information and assess attachment anxiety and avoidance through an appropriate validated adult attachment instrument, such as the Experiences in Close Relationships–Revised questionnaire, subject to permission and appropriate use requirements. Interpersonal trust will be measured using a validated measure appropriate to romantic relationships, and communication will be assessed through a suitable instrument that distinguishes constructive communication from patterns such as demand-withdraw, criticism, and avoidance.

DATA ANALYSIS

The proposed data analysis will integrate quantitative statistical procedures with qualitative thematic analysis to examine the associations among attachment styles, trust, communication, and relationship satisfaction. Before conducting statistical tests, the researcher will inspect the dataset for missing responses, duplicate entries, unusual response patterns, and possible data-entry errors. The handling of missing data will depend on the amount and pattern of

missingness; the researcher should report the chosen procedure rather than automatically replacing all missing values with the mean. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations, will summarize the sample's demographic characteristics and the distribution of the principal study variables. Reliability estimates, such as coefficient omega or Cronbach's alpha where appropriate, will be calculated for multi-item measures, with the understanding that reliability alone does not establish validity. The researcher will examine whether the data meet the assumptions of the planned analyses, including linearity, independence of observations, multicollinearity, and the distribution of residuals. Pearson correlations may be used for suitable approximately continuous variables when their assumptions are reasonable; Spearman correlations may be more appropriate when the data are ordinal or assumptions are not adequately met. Multiple regression analysis may then examine whether attachment anxiety and avoidance are associated with trust and communication after accounting for selected theoretically justified covariates, such as relationship duration and relationship status. A separate regression model may investigate whether trust and communication are associated with relationship satisfaction. If mediation analysis is conducted, the researcher will specify the proposed indirect pathway in advance and estimate uncertainty using an appropriate bootstrap procedure.

FINDINGS

The present paper synthesizes existing literature and proposes an empirical design; it does not report findings from a newly completed survey or interview study. The literature reviewed nevertheless provides several important patterns relevant to the research question. First, attachment-related expectations appear to be relevant to how individuals approach intimacy, interpret uncertainty, and seek support. Secure attachment is generally associated with greater comfort in emotional closeness, while attachment anxiety and avoidance are associated with different challenges in regulating relationship-related distress. These associations should be interpreted as tendencies rather than universal rules. Second, trust appears to reflect both individual expectations and the partner's actual behavior. Campbell and Stanton (2019) emphasize the importance of considering trust as both an attachment-related individual difference and a relationship-specific process. This distinction cautions against explaining all distrust as insecurity, particularly when a partner has provided evidence of dishonesty or inconsistency. Third, communication patterns appear to be an important link between attachment-related experiences and relationship satisfaction. The longitudinal study by Lefebvre et al. (2026) found indirect associations between attachment insecurity and lower satisfaction through particular negative communication patterns. Their findings support the value of examining interactions between partners rather than treating attachment as an isolated individual characteristic. Fourth, contemporary research indicates that communication and trust should be understood within specific contexts. Vanderbilt et al. (2025) examined attachment in relation to text-message behavior, while Jaffar et al. (2025) studied communication styles and relationship quality among dating couples in Lahore.

CONCLUSION

Attachment styles, trust, and communication are closely interconnected aspects of romantic relationship functioning. Attachment theory helps explain how individuals approach emotional closeness, respond to uncertainty, and seek support from romantic partners. Trust

concerns expectations of honesty, reliability, and care, while communication provides the means through which partners express their needs, clarify misunderstandings, negotiate boundaries, and respond to conflict. Considered together, these constructs offer a useful framework for understanding why similar relationship events may produce different emotional reactions and why repeated communication patterns can contribute to either security or dissatisfaction. The literature generally associates secure attachment with greater comfort in intimacy and constructive relationship behavior, while attachment anxiety and avoidance may be associated with reassurance-seeking, emotional withdrawal, or difficulties managing vulnerability. However, these are tendencies rather than fixed outcomes. Attachment patterns do not determine a person's behavior, and they cannot explain every relationship difficulty. Trust depends not only on prior expectations but also on the partner's actual conduct, including honesty, consistency, respect, and accountability. Communication can strengthen trust when partners listen, respond clearly, acknowledge mistakes, and negotiate disagreements respectfully. Conversely, repeated criticism, defensiveness, unexplained withdrawal, and dishonesty may intensify insecurity and weaken relationship satisfaction. Recent research reinforces the importance of examining these processes together.

REFERENCES

Devisetty, D., & Animisetty, M. (2025). Trust Issues and Consequences in Romantic Relationships: A Systematic Review. International Journal of Indian Psychology, 13(3).

Giacobbi, M., & Lalot, F. (2025). Unpacking trust repair in couples: A systematic literature review. Journal of Family Therapy, 47(1), e12483.

Jaffar, A., Ali, F., & Awais, M. (2025). Attachment Styles and Relationship Quality Among Dating Couples: The Mediating Role of Communication Styles. Howard Journal of Communications, 36(4), 527-547.

Jaiswal, L. K., Nayak, T., Kakkar, A., Kandwal, G., Vashishtha, S., Singh, K., ... & Gupta, A. (2025). Comprehensive kinetic characterization and inhibitor study of Ribonuclease E, a key enzyme of RNA metabolism from Mycobacterium smegmatis. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 146975.

Lefebvre, A. A., Brassard, A., Jean, M., Daspe, M. È., Lafontaine, M. F., & Péloquin, K. (2026). The Role of Communication in Romantic Attachment and Relationship Satisfaction: A Dyadic Longitudinal Study. Journal of Marital and Family Therapy, 52(2), e70127.

Vanderbilt, R. R., Brinberg, M., & Lu, Y. (2025). The impact of attachment style on communication frequency and language use in romantic partners' text messages. Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 44(6), 1047-1061

Ginalska, K., & Cichopek, A. (2025). Attachment styles, maladaptive cognitive schemas, and relationship satisfaction: a multilevel analysis of romantic relationships. Personality and individual Differences, 247, 113380..