THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

POSTMODERN LITERATURE: PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Tariq Usman, Assistant Professor of English Literature, University of Okara,
tariq.usman@uo.edu.pk

Badar ul Islam, Lecturer in English Literature, University of Okara

badar.ul.islam@uo.edu.pk

Izzah Rashid, PhD English Literature scholar, University of Okara

izzahrasheed74@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Postmodern literature is one of the most impactful and controversial areas in the literary studies of the twentieth and twenty-first centuries. Postmodern literature is a product of the intellectual, cultural, political and technological changes that occurred after World War II, and it challenged notions about the nature of representation, historical authenticity, stable identity, authorial control, originality, and narrative consistency. It uses characteristic techniques such as fragmentation, metafiction, intertextuality, parody, pastiche, irony, temporal disruption, unreliable narration, genre hybridity and the conscious breakdown of the distinction between fact and fiction. Jean-François Lyotard, Fredric Jameson, Linda Hutcheon, Brian McHale, Patricia Waugh and other leading theorists have stated the theoretical underpinnings of these practices. It sketches the historical background and the intellectual ancestry of postmodern literature, analyzes its main formal and thematic traits, and analyzes how postmodernism appears in the work of Thomas Pynchon, John Barth, Kurt Vonnegut, Italo Calvino, Margaret Atwood, and Toni Morrison. It then looks at the present literary scene, suggesting that postmodernism is not just gone or that it has not gone away. Rather, its methods have been refashioned by the advent of New Sincerity, post-postmodernism, affective literary practices, digital literature, global and postcolonial writing, ecological fiction and metamodernist aesthetics. The paper suggests that postmodernism is not over, but what is happening to the critical inheritance of postmodernism is worth considering. Modernist self-reflection and sincerity, affect, moral commitment, past and present orientation, ecological consciousness, and technological exploration are now increasingly being integrated into postmodern literature. Postmodern literature hence cannot be read solely as a literary period, but as a permanent repertoire of approaches by which literature raises questions about reality, knowledge, identity, history and representation.

Keywords: *postmodern literature, metafiction, intertextuality, historiographic metafiction, irony, fragmentation, New Sincerity, post-postmodernism, meta modernism, contemporary fiction*

1. Introduction

Postmodern literature is an odd and unique position in literary history. It is often referred to as a literary movement, an aesthetic sensibility, a cultural attitude, a historical era, and a reaction to modernity. However, each of these descriptions is not enough. One of the reasons it is hard to define postmodern literature is that it is inherently unstable in conception. Postmodern literary texts often challenge just the sort of classification that literary history tries to impose upon the production of culture. They question the boundaries of high culture

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

and popular culture, between fiction and history, author and reader, reality and representation, originality and repetition, and seriousness and play. This is why postmodern literature can be regarded more as a loose array of literary techniques than as a single set of literary prescriptions, aesthetic program, or a movement.

Postmodern literature must be understood in the context of the intellectual crisis of modernity in the twentieth century. The concept of modernity had been linked with rationality, progress, scientific progress, universal knowledge, autonomy of individuals and historical development. But the disasters of the twentieth century gave a twist to these. The Second World War, the Holocaust, colonial violence, decolonisation, the Cold War, mass consumption, technological advances and the rise of mass media made it difficult to sustain any universal explanations of progress in human history. Particularly, postmodern societies have lost their faith in metanarratives, in the sense that they are discrediting the grand story lines that purport to account for history, knowledge, emancipation, or progress, as Lyotard (1984) has famously put it.

The literature was particularly notable in its negotiation of this crisis, as it is one of the major ways in which people make sense of their experience. Postmodern writers thus started to reveal the artificial nature of narrative, instead of hiding it. It may break off of its narrative, talk directly to the reader, challenge the voice of its narrator, print excerpts from historical documents, parody a different literary form or even deliberately contradict itself. These kinds of strategies shift the focus of the literary text to an investigation of representation itself.

Theoretically there is a helpful distinction made by McHale (1987). Modernist fiction tends to focus on epistemological issues of knowing reality, while postmodernist fiction tends to focus on ontological issues of reality and multiplicity. Postmodern literature thus is not merely the reverberating world, but the world of reverberation.

The present paper proposes a three-stage reading of postmodern literature: its past which was defined by experimentation with form and doubt about universal stories; its present, in which postmodern strategies persisted and adapted in the literary production of the present; and its future, which may entail the confluence of postmodern self-reflexivity and affect, sincerity, ecological consciousness, digitality, global perspectives, and new ethical engagement.

The Intellectual Genealogy of Postmodern Literature

The postmodern heritage of the literary is traced to the modern and avant-garde. The conventional narrative forms and realism representation had been challenged by modernist writers earlier. James Joyce, Virginia Woolf, T. S. Eliot, and Samuel Beckett used fragmentation, stream of consciousness, non-linear temporality, multiple perspectives and

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

linguistic ambiguity. They themselves challenged the idea of presenting reality in the traditional ways of storytelling.

These experimental tendencies, however, were inherited by postmodernism and given a new twist to their philosophical meanings. In many instances, modernist fragmentation arose from a search for new forms that could represent a fragmented modern reality. In contrast to postmodern fragmentation, the fragmentation is often a state that is not necessarily to be resolved. The text itself becomes the goal while the unity of reality is impossible.

Structuralism and poststructuralism were also closely related to the post-modern development of intellectual literature. Barthes (1977), however, challenged the power that has long been accorded to the author, and Derrida (1976) showed the tenuous nature of binary oppositions and the fact that meaning cannot be fixed or founded on a final concept. In a similar vein, Foucault (1972) highlighted the interconnections between discourse, knowledge and institutional power. These theoretical works helped shift the understanding of literary texts as place of competing discourses, as opposed to transparent containers of authorial meaning, to a cultural context. This new type of literature arose from a number of intellectual trends: modernist formal experimentation, avant-garde aesthetic projects, poststructuralist language practices, doubts about general knowledge, mass media culture, and the changes of late capitalist culture.

Lyotard and the Crisis of Grand Narratives

Jean-François Lyotard's *The Postmodern Condition* is one of the key theoretical works to be known for the postmodernism. For Lyotard (1984) postmodernism is characterized by a distrust of what he calls meta-narratives or grand narratives. These are stories aimed to explain the whole of humanity and its evolution.

One example is the Enlightenment belief in universal rational progress. There is another from Marxist theories of historical emancipation. Religious and nationalist narratives can also serve as complete systems of organization for communities in terms of collective meaning and collective identity. It does not necessarily follow, Lyotard argues, that knowledge loses its meaning in the postmodern moment. Rather, he claims that knowledge becomes more and more plural, more local, more contextual and more discourse-based.

This philosophical skepticism is rendered into stories in postmodern literature. Postmodern fiction can represent several different points of view and conflicting explanations of what happened, instead of just one. The reader is often hindered from achieving a final interpretation. There are various points of view without a need for reconciliation.

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

This is one of the reasons why it is said that postmodern texts are sometimes fractured and indefinite. They are formally unstable, as a function of a more fundamental epistemological skepticism: If there is no single story that can be said to have total authority, literary form becomes plural and unstable.

Metafiction and Literary Self-Consciousness

Metafiction is one of the hallmarks of postmodern literature. Waugh (1984) defines metafiction as fiction that "deliberately" focuses on itself as a manufactured work. Metafiction does not allow the reader to forget that he is reading a fictional world; it emphasizes the means by which fictional worlds are created.

Metafiction as a result alters the equilibrium between author, text, character, and reader. The narrator may explicitly state that the story is being written. One character can find out that he or she is living in a fictional text. The story can cut back and forth and talk about its own creation. The reader can even become a part of the imaginary world. These techniques are used in different ways by John Barth, Italo Calvino, Robert Coover, Donald Barthelme, and Thomas Pynchon. In Calvino's *If on a Winter's Night a Traveler* (1981), for instance, the reader is a character and each time the story starts, another story starts. The novel thus becomes a text about reading.

Metafiction is significant because it makes the act of creating literature visible rather than transparent, an activity that represents reality. The text is effective in asking the reader to reflect on not only "what" is being represented, but also "how" representation is being produced.

Intertextuality and the Postmodern Text

In postmodern literature, the concept of the literary text as a separate entity is also thrown into doubt. Intertextuality is the term coined by Kristeva (1980) to refer to the interdependencies among texts, culture codes, discourses, and past modes of expression. These connections become overtly apparent to postmodern writers.

A postmodern novel can contain mythological or historical documents, ads, popular songs, newspapers, detective stories, romance, or science fiction, a religious text, or a book that is part of the classics. The literary text is then a cultural collage. This is a method that breaks from the originality concept. The writer does not have to start from scratch; meaning arises out of the rearrangement and transformation of existing cultural materials. The text is made a place of interaction between previous texts.

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

This is a tendency that is closely related to Jameson's (1991) work on postmodern culture. In Jameson's view, the stylistic recycling of previous cultures through pastiche has something to do with the general cultural imperative of late capitalism. History becomes a storehouse of ready-made styles, being re-created and re-combined in the continual production of postmodern culture. Thus, the idea of intertextuality implies aesthetic and ideological significance. It opens up literary possibilities; it also challenges issues of cultural consumption, originality and ownership, as well as historical memory.

Parody, Pastiche, and the Collapse of Cultural Boundaries

In postmodern literature, cultural materials often are linked together that are usually distinguished by literary status. Classical mythology may be used in co-operation with television, Shakespeare may be set side by side with comic book conventions, and philosophical discussion side by side with popular entertainment.

Hutcheon (1988) points to the paradoxicalness of postmodernism. Texts that are postmodern in style tend to refer back to earlier forms and also to be questioning. Ridicule is not enough, so parody becomes more than ridicule. Can reproduce a set form, but change its ideological meaning. This means a double tie to tradition. Postmodern literature does not only dismiss what has gone before, or simply take it for granted. Instead, it adapts, alters and reframes the past.

Pastiche works like parody but unlike parody it's relation to criticism. Jameson (1991) suggests that, because historical styles are available for imitation without being necessarily located in the same historical context, pastiche is a central component of the postmodern cultural production. The literary result is a culture of stylistic recycling in which various eras and genres are present in the same text.

Historiographic Metafiction and the Problem of History

One of the movement's most important contributions was the postmodern re-writing of historical fiction. Hutcheon (1988) refers to the use of the term historiographic metafiction to describe texts that not only reconstruct history but also look into whether there is objective historical representation.

Historiographic metafiction is a result of the realization that history is not a linear, objective representation of a past that is "retrievable" but a process of narrative construction involving selection, interpretation, ideology, and language. Linda Hutcheon (1988) has coined the term 'historiographic metafiction' for fiction which at the same time narrates historical events and makes them aware of their fictional nature. Such fiction plays with the traditional boundaries between historical reality and literary invention, situating actual events and historical figures alongside fictional characters, fictional episodes and self-

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

reflection in the fictional mode of narration. Author Toni Morrison's Beloved (1987), for example, fabricates the history of American slavery through the fictional narrative of Sethe, a woman of color who suffers from traumatic memories that continually break down the distinctions between past and present. Morrison does not assert that slavery is a past or finished historical event that can be told objectively, but rather, she shows how historical violence continues to be present in the memories of individuals and groups. Likewise, in Ragtime (1975), E. L. Doctorow's book about the early years of jazz intertwines fictional families with the real-life counterparts of Henry Ford, Harry Houdini, and Emma Goldman, so that readers realize that "factual" history itself is a product of storytelling. Historiographic metafiction is not just a fictionalization of history, but an uncovering of the processes of both history and fiction as agents of versioned reality.

Representation, authority, and memory then, are the problem of history in historiographic metafiction. If historical knowledge comes to us via documents, archives, testimonies, official records, and narratives, then what kinds of experiences are being preserved and what kinds are being lost? Historical metafiction often reacts by reclaiming marginalised points of view and contrasting official and personal narratives, muted voices and alternative stories. One of the most famous instances of this is Margaret Atwood's *The Handmaid's Tale* (1985), which builds up the story of the fictional *Republic of Gilead* based on the testimony of Offred herself, then complicates the story in the "Historical Notes" which reveal how later historians could interpret, distort or trivialise her experience. The novel thus shows here the fragility of historical certainty: even though evidence remains, it is re-constructed by those who hold the re-institution of power. J. M. Coetzee's 1986 Foe is another example of a work that revises the world of *Robinson Crusoe*, and foregrounds the character of Susan Barton and the unspoken voice of Friday, a character who can't speak, a formidable metaphor for the exclusion of voices of marginalized populations from the book's world and literature and history. Such works demonstrate that the past may be inaccessible except through the narratives through which it is remembered and represented. Historiographic metafiction consequently occupies a productive tension between historical reference and narrative invention, acknowledging that history matters profoundly while insisting that our access to it is always mediated by language, perspective, power, and memory.

Likewise, Toni Morrison's *Beloved* (1987) reimagines the trauma of slavery in an "unfinished" memory and in a non-linear narrative. The novel shows that sometimes a simple chronological narrative is inadequate to represent historical trauma. The postmodern historical novel does not automatically say that history is a figment of the imagination. Instead, it challenges the notion of a direct transmission of history. History becomes a game of contended narratives.

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

Postmodern literature is not likely to be just a revisiting of late-twentieth-century formal experimentation. Rather, postmodern practices will probably now be integrated into a more complex and varied literary landscape. One of the significant trends will be the growing linkage of postmodernism and digital literature. Extending the postmodern investigation of authorship, textuality and reality, interactive narratives, artificial intelligence, virtual reality, digital archives and algorithmic storytelling will continue to explore these concepts.

The other way will be towards the growing significance of affect and sincerity. In the recent literature, there is also a lot of evidence that postmodern formal complexity and affective involvement, empathy and authenticity are intertwined (Kowalik, 2023; Chemodurova, 2024).

The third direction will be global and decolonial postmodernism. Western histories of postmodernism will become more subject to literary scholarship and the postmodern strategies examined in the context of their various cultural and colonial contexts. Eco-planetary postmodernism will be the fourth direction. Literature will need to be reconsidered, in light of climate change and ecological crisis, as a field that confronts the humanities with their material environments, nonhuman life, and technological systems.

The fifth direction will be the literature mediated by artificial intelligence. AI will exacerbate discussions about authorship, originality, creativity, interpretation, and literary value. Finally, in the future postmodern literature may progress towards a productive coexistence of apparently antagonistic impulses. Irony can be joined with sincerity, skepticism with hope, fragmentation with emotional connection, metafiction with realism and technological experimentation with ecological and ethical consciousness.

Postmodernism revolutionized literature's representational potential. It challenged the consistency of meaning, the legitimacy of the author, the consistency of identity, the objectivity of history, the transparency of language and the difference between real and fictional. By means of metafiction, intertextuality, parody and pastiche, fragmentation, unreliable narration, temporal disruption and hybridity of genres, postmodern writers made literary form a field for challenging the assumptions underlying the nature of representation.

This literary shift was well grounded in the philosophy of Lyotard's (1984) critique of grand narratives, and a linkage between postmodern culture and late capitalism was made by Jameson's (1991) account. Metafiction had been established by Hutcheon (1988) as an important aspect of historiographic metafiction, by McHale (1987) as an important aspect of the ontological dimension of postmodernist fiction, and by Waugh (1984) as an important aspect of literary self-consciousness.

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

However, postmodern literary history doesn't stop with the twentieth century. Today, writers use postmodern techniques, and more and more, in conjunction with sincerity, affect, realism, historical consciousness, ethical engagement, ecological awareness, and digital experimentation. According to the scholarship on post-postmodernism, and the affective turn, "the contemporary novel seems to have begun working through the limits of perpetual irony and to be beginning to search for new ways to engage in emotional and ethical ways" (Doyle, 2018; Kowalik, 2023).

The development is not to be understood as a straightforward rejection of postmodernism. The modern literature is not necessarily a progression from irony to sincerity, that is, one artistic mode is supplanted by another. Instead, it is becoming more and more like a container that is holding contradictory emotions. The writer may be sarcastic, self-aware, cynical, and sentimental. Coexistence can be one of the hallmarks of literary culture in the twenty-first century.

Digital technologies, artificial intelligence, ecological crisis, globalization, migration and decolonial thinking will also play a role in the future of postmodern literature. The developments offer new circumstances for questions the postmodern literature has already raised: Who builds reality? Who controls narrative? What constitutes knowledge? What is original about a text? Who can speak for history? What is fiction and what is reality?

Jean-François Lyotard's *The Postmodern Condition* is one of the key theoretical works to be known for the postmodernism. For Lyotard (1984) postmodernism is characterized by a distrust of what he calls meta-narratives or grand narratives. These are stories aimed to explain the whole of humanity and its evolution.

One example is the Enlightenment belief in universal rational progress. There is another from Marxist theories of historical emancipation. Religious and nationalist narratives can also serve as complete systems of organization for communities in terms of collective meaning and collective identity. It does not necessarily follow, Lyotard argues, that knowledge loses its meaning in the postmodern moment. Rather, he claims that knowledge becomes more and more plural, more local, more contextual and more discourse-based.

This philosophical skepticism is rendered into stories in postmodern literature. Postmodern fiction can represent several different points of view and conflicting explanations of what happened, instead of just one. The reader is often hindered from achieving a final interpretation. There are various points of view without a need for reconciliation. This is one of the reasons why it is said that postmodern texts are sometimes fractured and indefinite. They are formally unstable, as a function of a more fundamental

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

epistemological skepticism: If there is no single story that can be said to have total authority, literary form becomes plural and unstable.

The questioning of universal stories is of political relevance in postmodern literature. When the dominant narratives are presented as universal truths, challenging them can create room for the voices from the margins. Postmodern approaches have therefore proved to be quite fruitful for feminist, postcolonial, minority and diasporic writers.

Meanwhile, postmodernism has been faulted for its possible impact of eroding political loyalty. When all truths are considered as constructed stories, the question is raised by critics: can emancipatory narratives be distinguished from oppressive narratives? To solve this issue, Jameson (1991) places postmodern culture in late capitalism. For him, postmodernism is not only an artistic movement but also a cultural logic created out of changes in the capitalist society. It is an attraction to surfaces, pictures, goods and stylistic reworking, which is related to wider economic and social phenomena.

This reading puts a twist into celebratory narratives of postmodern freedom. The disintegration of cultural power can be used to generate resistance, while at the same time it can be taken up by consumer capitalism. In postmodern literature, then, there is a basic political contradiction between the formal methods that can uncover ideological frameworks and the formal methods that can be traded on the cultural market place.

The Present Condition of Postmodern Literature

The question of the end of postmodern literature is a fundamental one of the present literary context. There's no easy answer. Others scholars and writers have suggested that the dominant cultural set-ups of postmodernism have succumbed to new setups, and others that postmodern techniques are still very much a part of the literature today. It would be more convincing to argue that postmodernism has not disappeared, but instead changed. Metafiction, intertextuality, irony, genre hybridity, fragmented chronology, unreliable narration and historical reconstruction are employed in contemporary writing. What has changed is the cultural and affective realm of these techniques.

As a theory, the “affective turn” is a way of reading contemporary fiction written by David Foster Wallace, Jonathan Franzen, Percival Everett, and Zadie Smith, among others, that takes into account the postmodern skepticism regarding the subjective status of emotion. In this reading, modern fiction tries to preserve the postmodern experimentation with form while reclaiming a sense of sincerity, authenticity and emotional involvement.

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

So the present state of literature is not necessarily post postmodernism, it's just the replacement of postmodernism. It is more commonly recognized as a process of negotiation with the postmodern legacy.

In postmodern literature, cultural materials often are linked together that are usually distinguished by literary status. Classical mythology may be used in co-operation with television, Shakespeare may be set side by side with comic book conventions, and philosophical discussion side by side with popular entertainment. Hutcheon (1988) points to the paradoxicalness of postmodernism. Texts that are postmodern in style tend to refer back to earlier forms and also to be questioning. Ridicule is not enough, so parody becomes more than ridicule. Can reproduce a set form, but change its ideological meaning.

This means a double tie to tradition. Postmodern literature does not only dismiss what has gone before, or simply take it for granted. Instead, it adapts, alters and reframes the past. Pastiche works like parody but unlike parody it's relation to criticism. Jameson (1991) suggests that, because historical styles are available for imitation without being necessarily located in the same historical context, pastiche is a central component of the postmodern cultural production. The literary result is a culture of stylistic recycling in which various eras and genres are present in the same text.

Post-Postmodernism and Metamodernism

Literary and cultural movements that followed the perceived end of postmodernism have given rise to various terms like post-postmodernism and metamodernism. These terms are still debatable and should not be regarded as historical classifications. They still notice one significant trend, however: today's society tends to vacillate between conflicting viewpoints instead of taking a stance on either.

The hallmark of postmodernism was irony, skepticism, fragmentation, and suspicion. These tendencies are now being joined by the growing prominence of sincerity, hope, empathy, authenticity and an interest in meaningful communication in emerging literary formations. Meta modernist fiction, Chemodurova associates, has “new sincerity,” emotional resonance, and empathy for the story, which stands in contrast to the more explicit ludic movements that are usually linked to postmodernist fiction.

This is not to say that modern literature has gotten back to the pre-postmodern era, but it does mean that it is moving away from postmodernism. Modern writers know that it is possible to sound sincere and to be sincere, to be authentic and to make it seem as if you are. It is possible, then, to believe and doubt at the same time: this is perhaps the distinguishing quality of the new literary condition.

Postmodern Literature and Digital Culture

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

Literature is no longer just a physical entity; the culture of literature is now digital. These technologies have put questions about textual boundaries in new directions—social media, digital archives, interactive fiction, online storytelling, fan fiction, virtual worlds, algorithmically mediated communication—that complicate the question of textual boundaries even more.

In this context, a number of postmodern issues have come back to the fore. The distinction between writer and reader can be blurred. Texts can be continually revised, shared, remixed, and transformed. In the digital world that is filled with quotation, adaptation, sampling, memes, and multimedia communication, intertextuality can be hardly avoided. The postmodern literary concept of the original, then, is extended into digital culture. The text could no longer be an enduring object but a continually changing network.

With AI, this development is even more important. The writing process, the concept of originality, and ideas of traditional authorship and creativity are challenged by AI-assisted writing. Literary self-consciousness also appears in its contemporary manifestations in other ongoing debates about New Sincerity (Lopez-Sande, 2024). The postmodern notions of the author and intertextuality will then be required to be revisited in the context of algorithmic textual production in the future.

Postmodernism and Artificial Intelligence

Literary studies are faced with new questions from artificial intelligence, which are similar to and sharpen many of the questions raised by postmodernism. Who is the author of a text produced in a collaborative process between a person and an algorithm? When writing is produced by a machine and relies on vast amounts of pre-existing text, what is originality? When the reader can be a prompt designer, editor and co-producer, what becomes of the difference between writer and reader?

These questions do not render literature produced by AI postmodern. Instead they show that postmodern theory is as relevant as ever. Stable authorship, originality, and representation in a text, and its textual autonomy, are points that gain new meaning in an environment where technological systems participate in the production of the text. The literary scholar of the future would then have to understand that, besides being a tool for technological empowerment, AI is a cultural shift that impacts on the ontological nature of the literary text.

Postmodernism and Global/Decolonial Literature

Among the other avenues of future development, the geographical extension of postmodern literary research is a substantial one. Traditional Narratives of postmodernism have often

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

focused on European and American writers and theorists. These methods can potentially lead to the representation of the experience of the West as being universal.

This model is complicated by postcolonial and global literature. There are often transformations, hybridity, parodies, magical realism, historical revision, and intertextuality, but these can be a product of different historical conditions and may be common in the writing of writers from South Asia, Africa, Caribbean, Latin America and Middle East. In Morrison's Beloved, the disjointed narrative and supernatural elements are not simply employed as formal games but as means by which the historical trauma caused by slavery is represented (Morrison, 1987). Global, comparative, and decolonial, then, is the future of postmodern literary scholarship, and it is the only future we can hope for, given that the same formal approaches can signify very different things in different historical moments.

Postmodernism and Environmental Crisis

Ecology is another large problem for postmodern literary theory. The focus on textuality, simulation, representation and the volatility of reality has been a hallmark of postmodernism. In reaction to climate change, however, material processes are calling for a return to a focus beyond human discourse.

The present state of environmental literature thus establishes a potentially fruitful dialogue between text and doubt (postmodernism) and nature and materiality. Climate change is obviously present in discourse, but also in the material forms of change, such as temperature shifts, rising sea levels, loss of species, drought, displacement, and ecological disruption.

The postmodern literary field of study can thus become increasingly entangled with the fields of ecocriticism, materialism, posthumanism, and environmental humanities in the future. It will no longer be about the construction of reality through language, but also the representation of human being in relation to the material and ecological world through literary language. The transformation may bring a significant shift in postmodern literary theory, from almost singular focus on textual construction to the consideration of the textuality/materiality relationship.

Postcritique and the Future of Literary Interpretation

Another important avenue for contemporary literary studies is the emergence of postc Critique. Postcritics have challenged the notion that the act of literary interpretation should always be a process of suspicion, demystification and ideology exposure. For example, Felski claims a literary hermeneutics that takes account of attachment, recognition, knowledge, and enchantment along with suspicion. But it doesn't mean giving up on critical thinking, it just makes a wider window for the critics' questions.

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

Postcritique is important to the postmodern literature because suspicion has been at the heart of postmodern intellectual culture. Postmodernism was a movement in which the reader was encouraged to doubt authority, ideology, authorship, representation, and statements of truth. Postcritique considers the ways in which suspicion is so overwhelming that other aspects of literary life are lost. The future may thus be a balanced critical practice in which suspicion and attachment, deconstruction and empathy, ideological critique and aesthetic experience all coexist.

Of course, the formal experimentation of the late 1980s will not be repeated in the future of postmodernism. Rather, postmodern approaches are more likely to be part of a more complex and multi-species literary ecosystem. The first big trend will be the growing fusion of postmodernism with digital literature. Interactive narratives, artificial intelligence, virtual reality, digital archives and algorithmic storytelling will continue the postmodern questioning of authorship, textuality, and reality.

The second direction will be the increasing importance of affect and sincerity. It has been suggested by recent scholarship that many works of today's literature, at the same time, possess a postmodern style of formal complexity and a level of emotional engagement, empathy, and a renewed interest in authenticity (Kowalik, 2023; Chemodurova, 2024). The third direction will be global and decolonial postmodernism. Western histories of postmodernism will be subject to greater scrutiny by literary scholarship and its use of postmodern strategies will be examined in other cultural and colonial contexts.

The fourth direction will be "ecological and planetary postmodernism". Literature must recalibrate the human-material-environment, nonhuman life, and technological systems in the context of climate change and ecological crisis. The fifth direction will be the AI-assisted literature. AI will deepen the discussion of authorship, originality, creativity, interpretation and literary value. Lastly, the future postmodern literature could increasingly move towards a productive coexistence of apparently contradictory impulses. Irony and sincerity can share a space; skepticism and hope can be paired together; fragmentation and emotional connection can go hand in hand; metafiction and realism, techno-experimentation and ecological and ethical consciousness, can live together.

Future Directions of Postmodern Literature

Postmodern literature has revolutionized the notion of literary representation. It challenged the stability of meaning, the authority of the author, the coherence of identity, the objectivity of history, the transparency of language, and the difference between reality and fiction. Metafiction, intertextuality, parody, pastiche, fragmentation, unreliable narration, temporal disruption, and genre hybridity involve the postmodern writers in manipulating the form of

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

literature as a means of questioning the assumptions that underlie the representation of that form.

This literary shift was to a great extent grounded in the philosophical critique of grand narratives by Lyotard (1984) and the relation of postmodern culture to late capitalism by Jameson (1991). The importance of the historiographic metafiction was proved by Hutcheon (1988), the role of "ontology," as a major concern of postmodernist fiction, was observed by McHale (1987) while the role of metafiction as a major form of literary self-consciousness, was established by Waugh (1984).

But the twentieth century is not the end of postmodern literature. While postmodern tactics remain in use in contemporary writing, they are now more often combined with sincerity, affect, realism, historical awareness, ethical engagement, ecological sensibilities, and digital experimentation. Evidence from post-postmodernism and the affective turn theory indicates that recent fiction is increasingly navigating the constraints of “perpetual irony” and making an attempt to pursue different fashions of emotional and ethical involvement (Kowalik, 2023; Doyle, 2018).

The development cannot be dismissed as the mere rejection of postmodernism. The contemporary literature does not necessarily go from irony to sincerity, from one aesthetic system to another. Instead, it is a more recent phenomenon that it is a more recent phenomenon that holds contradictory impulses together. The writer may be ironic, sincere, self-conscious, and emotionally involved, and skeptical, and hopeful. This cohabitation could become one of the 21st century's hallmarks of literary culture.

Other important elements that will be determining the future of postmodern literature include digital technologies, artificial intelligence, ecological crisis, globalization, migration, and decolonial thought. These changes generate new questions for postmodern literature which has already placed at the center: Who creates reality? Who controls narrative? What constitutes knowledge? What defines originality of a text? Who ought to speak for the past? Where fiction meets reality.

Postmodernism is thus not important because contemporary literature should go on producing the sorts of litter it produces, but because the questions it poses are still not answered. Its greatest contribution may be its conviction that literature does not merely reflect reality but brings the readers to awareness of the ways in which reality is reflected, interpreted, remembered, and contested.

The concept of postmodern literature, therefore, may not be the old-fashioned postmodernism. It could rather be “trans-postmodern”, a literary state which carries over from postmodernism the critical scepticism and is headed towards affect, sincerity, ecological consciousness, global plurality, technological experimentation and renewed

THE STUDY OF RELIGION AND HISTORY

Vol.4, No.1, 2026

ISSN P: 3006-3329
ISSN E: 3006-3337

ethical involvement. Instead of fading away, postmodernism could be adopted as a critical and formal tool kit in the literary intellectual arsenal that will be updated as new realities emerge in the twenty first century.

Conclusion

The ecological crisis is yet another significant danger to postmodern literary theory. Textuality, simulation, representation and the instability of reality have been common themes in postmodernism. Climate change, however, puts material processes back on the agenda, ones outside the scope of human discourse. The literature of the present era, then, generates an interesting dynamic between postmodern scepticism toward text and the ecological materiality of text. Disourse is the representation of climate change, but there are also a number of ways in which it is experienced materially, like changing temperatures, rising seas, loss of species, drought, displacement, or ecological disruption.

The study of literature in the future may then be further entangled with ecocriticism, materialism, posthumanism and the environmental humanities. The question "How does the language construct reality" will no longer be the only question, but the question "How is human embeddedness in material and ecological systems represented in literary language. This change may be the beginnings of a new shift in postmodern literary theory, going from an almost exclusive focus on the concept of **textual construction** to one that focuses on textuality and on the material reality.

Contemporary literature studies moving in another direction is the emergence of postcritique. Postcritique's critics have doubted that literary interpretation always has to be suspicious, demystifying, and exposing the underlying ideology. Felski, for example, calls for different modes of literary reading that shift towards attachment, recognition, knowledge and enchantment along with suspicion. It is not that critical thinking is being discarded, but rather it widens the scope of queries critics can pose.

Post-critique is important to the postmodern literature because of its emphasis on suspicion, which has been a major theme of postmodern thought. Postmodernism served as a lesson in challenging authority, ideology, authorship, representation and claims to truth for the reader. In Postcritique, suspicion is so overpowering as to swallow other aspects of literary experience. The future may then be a more balanced critical practice where suspicion and attachment, deconstruction and empathy, and ideological critique and aesthetic experience all live together.

REFERENCES

Barth, J. (1979). The literature of exhaustion. In The Friday book: Essays and other nonfiction. Putnam.

Barthes, R. (1977). Image-music-text (S. Heath, Trans.). Hill and Wang.

Bhabha, H. K. (1994). The location of culture. Routledge.

Calvino, I. (1981). If on a winter's night a traveler (W. Weaver, Trans.). Harcourt Brace Jovanovich. (Original work published 1979)

Chemodurova, Z. M. (2024). The affective turn in metamodernist fiction and "New Sincerity." Science Journal. Linguistics, 23(2), 84–97. https://doi.org/10.15688/jvolsu2.2024.2.7 (https://doi.org/10.15688/jvolsu2.2024.2.7)

Derrida, J. (1976). Of grammatology (G. C. Spivak, Trans.). Johns Hopkins University Press.

Doyle, J. (2018). The changing face of post-postmodern fiction: Irony, sincerity, and populism. Critique: Studies in Contemporary Fiction, 59(3), 259–270. https://doi.org/10.1080/00111619.2017.1381069

Felski, R. (2015). The limits of critique. University of Chicago Press.

Foucault, M. (1972). The archaeology of knowledge and the discourse on language (A. M. Sheridan Smith, Trans.). Pantheon Books.

Hutcheon, L. (1988). Poetics of postmodernism: History, theory, fiction. Routledge.

Jameson, F. (1991). Postmodernism, or, the cultural logic of late capitalism. Duke University Press.

Kowalik, G. (2023). Post-postmodernism, the "affective turn," and inauthenticity. Humanities, 12(1), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.3390/h12010007 (https://doi.org/10.3390/h12010007)

Kristeva, J. (1980). Desire in language: A semiotic approach to literature and art (T. Gora et al., Trans.). Columbia University Press.

Lambert, S. (2020). "The real dark side, baby": New Sincerity and neoliberal aesthetics in David Foster Wallace and Jennifer Egan. Critique: Studies in Contemporary Fiction, 61(4), 394–411. https://doi.org/10.1080/00111619.2020.1727831 https://doi.org/10.1080/00111619.2020.1727831)

Lopez-Sande, S. (2024). Bequeathing "New Sincerity" in the age of the homo digitalis: Confessionalism and authorial self-consciousness in David Foster Wallace and Bo

Burnham. Literature Compass. https://doi.org/10.1111/lic3.12744] (https://doi.org/10.1111/lic3.12744)

Lyotard, J.-F. (1984). The postmodern condition: A report on knowledge (G. Bennington & B. Massumi, Trans.). University of Minnesota Press.

McHale, B. (1987). Postmodernist fiction. Methuen.

Morrison, T. (1987). Beloved. Alfred A. Knopf.

Rushdie, S. (1981). Midnight's children. Jonathan Cape.

Waugh, P. (1984). Metafiction: The theory and practice of self-conscious fiction. Methuen.