ISSN Online : 2709-4030
ISSN Print : 2709-4022
Vol.8 No.3 2024

EXAMINING THE EFFECTS OF BULLYING ON SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENT'S SELF-ESTEEM AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE: A QUANTITATIVE STUDY

TAYYABA SHAFIQUE
M. Phil Scholar, Superior University, Lahore
tayba965@gmail.com

Abstract

This study explores the profound impact of bullying on secondary school students' self-esteem and academic achievement through a quantitative research approach. A sample of 300 students from various secondary schools participated in the study by completing surveys that measured their experiences with bullying, self-esteem levels, and academic performance. The findings highlight the alarming prevalence of bullying, with 60% of students reporting verbal bullying, 30% experiencing physical bullying, and 20% encountering cyberbullying. The analysis revealed a strong negative correlation between bullying and self-esteem ($r = -0.65$ $p < 0.01$), as well as between bullying and academic achievement ($r = -0.50$, $p < 0.01$). Regression analyses further confirmed that bullying significantly predicts lower self-esteem ($\beta = -0.65$, $p < 0.001$) and decreased GPA ($\beta = -0.50$, $p < 0.001$). Additionally, ANOVA results indicated significant differences in self-esteem and GPA across various levels of bullying frequency ($p < 0.001$). These findings underscore the critical need for comprehensive, evidence-based anti-bullying interventions in schools to mitigate the adverse effects of bullying on students' psychological well-being and academic performance. The study calls for coordinated efforts involving educators, parents, and policymakers to create a supportive and inclusive school environment that fosters student well-being and academic success.

Keywords

Bullying, Self-esteem, Academic achievement, Physical bullying, Cyberbullying

Introduction

In recent years, the issue of bullying has garnered significant attention from educators, psychologists, policymakers, and researchers. Bullying, characterized by repeated aggressive behavior intended to cause harm or discomfort, manifests in various forms including physical, verbal, and cyberbullying. While its immediate effects on victims are often visible and distressing, the long-term consequences of bullying on the psychological and academic well-being of students are equally concerning. This article delves into the multifaceted impact of bullying on secondary school students' self-esteem and academic achievement, drawing from contemporary research and empirical data.

Secondary school years are pivotal in the development of a student's identity and self-concept. During this critical period, students are particularly vulnerable to external influences, including peer interactions and social dynamics. Self-esteem, defined as an individual's overall sense of self-worth or personal value, plays a crucial role in a student's mental health and academic performance. High self-esteem is associated with positive outcomes such as academic success, resilience, and social competence, whereas low self-esteem can lead to detrimental effects, including anxiety, depression, and poor academic achievement.

Bullying disrupts the educational environment, creating a climate of fear and insecurity. Victims of bullying often experience a significant decline in their self-esteem, which in turn affects their

ability to concentrate, participate, and perform in academic settings. Studies have shown that students who are bullied are more likely to exhibit lower academic performance, increased absenteeism, and a higher likelihood of dropping out of school. These academic repercussions are not just immediate but can have long-lasting impacts on the educational and career trajectories of the affected individuals.

The negative impact of bullying on academic achievement is multifaceted. Bullying can lead to psychological distress, manifesting as anxiety, depression, and low self-confidence, all of which can impair cognitive functions essential for learning. Additionally, the social exclusion and stigmatization that often accompany bullying can result in a lack of peer support, further hindering academic engagement and motivation. The classroom environment itself can become a source of stress, rather than a supportive space for learning and growth.

Moreover, the relationship between bullying, self-esteem, and academic achievement is complex and bidirectional. While bullying lowers self-esteem and hampers academic success, students with low self-esteem or poor academic performance may also be more susceptible to becoming targets of bullying. This cyclical pattern underscores the importance of addressing bullying comprehensively to break the cycle and promote a positive and inclusive school environment.

Research on the impact of bullying on self-esteem and academic achievement has highlighted the urgent need for effective intervention strategies. Schools play a critical role in preventing and addressing bullying through policies, programs, and a supportive culture. Anti-bullying programs that focus on fostering empathy, respect, and social skills have shown promise in reducing bullying incidents and mitigating their impact. Additionally, providing support services such as counseling and mentoring can help victims of bullying rebuild their self-esteem and academic confidence.

In conclusion, bullying is a pervasive issue with far-reaching consequences for secondary school students. Its impact on self-esteem and academic achievement is profound, affecting students' mental health, academic performance, and overall well-being. By understanding the dynamics of bullying and its effects, educators, parents, and policymakers can develop targeted interventions to create safer and more supportive school environments. This article aims to shed light on the critical need to address bullying and its detrimental effects, advocating for a holistic approach to student well-being and academic success

Literature Review

Introduction to Bullying and Its Prevalence

Bullying is a pervasive issue in schools worldwide, characterized by repeated aggressive behavior with an intention to harm or discomfort another individual. According to Olweus (1993), bullying can take various forms, including physical aggression, verbal abuse, and cyberbullying. The prevalence of bullying among secondary school students is alarmingly high, with numerous studies indicating that a significant proportion of students have either experienced or witnessed bullying in their school environment (Nansel et al., 2001).

Impact of Bullying on Self-Esteem

Self-esteem is a critical psychological construct that reflects an individual's overall sense of self-worth. Numerous studies have explored the detrimental impact of bullying on self-esteem among adolescents. Rigby (2003) found that victims of bullying often exhibit lower self-esteem compared to their non-bullied peers. This decline in self-esteem is linked to feelings of helplessness, worthlessness, and social isolation (Hawker & Boulton, 2000). Moreover,

persistent bullying can lead to long-term psychological effects, including anxiety and depression, which further exacerbate low self-esteem (Craig, 1998).

Bullying and Academic Achievement

The relationship between bullying and academic achievement is well-documented in educational research. Students who are bullied often experience a decline in academic performance, as bullying creates a hostile and distracting learning environment (Juvonen, Nishina, & Graham, 2000). Glew et al. (2005) found that bullied students are more likely to report lower grades and a lack of interest in school. Additionally, the stress and anxiety caused by bullying can impair cognitive functions, such as memory and concentration, which are essential for academic success (Swearer, Espelage, Vaillancourt, & Hymel, 2010).

Psychological Mechanisms Linking Bullying to Academic Outcomes

Understanding the psychological mechanisms through which bullying affects academic outcomes is crucial for developing effective interventions. According to Espelage and Swearer (2004), bullying-induced stress can lead to increased absenteeism, as victims may avoid school to escape their aggressors. This absenteeism contributes to gaps in learning and decreased academic performance. Furthermore, bullied students may struggle with self-efficacy, believing that they are incapable of achieving academic success, which in turn diminishes their motivation and engagement in school (Bandura, 1997).

Role of School Environment and Peer Support

The school environment plays a significant role in mitigating or exacerbating the effects of bullying. Positive school climates, characterized by supportive teacher-student relationships and strong anti-bullying policies, can buffer the negative impact of bullying on students' self-esteem and academic achievement (Thapa, Cohen, Guffey, & Higgins-D'Alessandro, 2013). Peer support also plays a crucial role; students who have supportive friendships and a sense of belonging are more resilient to the adverse effects of bullying (Cowie, 2011). Peer-led anti-bullying programs have shown promise in reducing bullying incidents and promoting a positive school culture (Salmivalli, Kärnä, & Poskiparta, 2011).

Long-Term Consequences of Bullying

The consequences of bullying extend beyond immediate psychological and academic impacts. Longitudinal studies have shown that individuals who experienced bullying during adolescence are at higher risk for mental health issues, such as depression and anxiety, in adulthood (Arseneault, Bowes, & Shakoor, 2010). Moreover, these individuals often face challenges in their professional lives, including lower educational attainment and difficulties in maintaining stable employment (Wolke & Lereya, 2015). The long-term impact of bullying underscores the importance of early intervention and continuous support for victims.

Interventions and Prevention Strategies

Effective intervention strategies are essential for addressing bullying and mitigating its impact on students. Whole-school approaches, which involve students, teachers, and parents, have been found to be effective in reducing bullying (Ttofi & Farrington, 2011). Programs that focus on promoting empathy, conflict resolution skills, and positive behavior have shown promise in creating a more inclusive and supportive school environment (Merrell, Gueldner, Ross, & Isava, 2008). Additionally, providing counseling and psychological support to victims can help them rebuild their self-esteem and improve their academic performance (Mishna, 2003).

Research Objectives

1. To examine the prevalence and types of bullying among secondary school students.
2. To analyze the psychological impact of bullying on the self-esteem of secondary school students.
3. To investigate the relationship between bullying and academic achievement among secondary school students.

Research Methodology

This study employs a quantitative research design to investigate the impact of bullying on secondary school students' self-esteem and academic achievement. The methodology involves data collection through structured questionnaires, statistical analysis, and the use of various quantitative techniques to draw meaningful conclusions. A cross-sectional survey design was chosen for this study to capture data at a single point in time. This design is suitable for understanding the prevalence of bullying, its effects on self-esteem, and its relationship with academic achievement. The study sample consists of 300 secondary school students selected through stratified random sampling. The stratification ensures representation across different grades, genders, and socio-economic backgrounds. Consent was obtained from both students and their guardians before participation.

Results

Table 1 provides an overview of the demographic characteristics of the sample.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the Sample

Characteristic	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	150	50%
Female	150	50%
Grade Level		
Grade 9	100	33.3%
Grade 10	100	33.3%
Grade 11	100	33.3%
Socio-economic Status		
Low	100	33.3%
Middle	100	33.3%
High	100	33.3%

Table 2 summarizes the prevalence of different types of bullying experienced by the students.

Table 2: Prevalence of Different Types of Bullying

Type of Bullying	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Physical Bullying	90	30%
Verbal Bullying	180	60%
Cyberbullying	60	20%
No Bullying	90	30%

Self-Esteem and Academic Achievement

Table 3 provides the mean and standard deviation of self-esteem scores and academic achievement (GPA).

Table 3: Mean and Standard Deviation of Self-Esteem and GPA

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Self-Esteem	3.2	0.8
GPA	2.8	0.5

Correlation Analysis

Table 4 shows the correlation between bullying, self-esteem, and academic achievement.

Table 4: Correlation Matrix

Variable	Bullying	Self-Esteem	GPA
Bullying	1	-0.65**	-0.50**
Self-Esteem	-0.65**	1	0.45**
GPA	-0.50**	0.45**	1

Note: **p < 0.01

Regression Analysis

Table 5 presents the regression analysis with self-esteem as the dependent variable.

Table 5: Regression Analysis (Self-Esteem as Dependent Variable)

Predictor	B	SE	Beta	t	p
Bullying	-0.55	0.05	-0.65	-11.0	<0.001
Gender	-0.10	0.10	-0.05	-1.0	0.31
Socio-economic Status	0.15	0.08	0.10	1.88	0.06

Table 6 presents the regression analysis with GPA as the dependent variable.

Table 6: Regression Analysis (GPA as Dependent Variable)

Predictor	B	SE	Beta	t	p
Bullying	-0.40	0.06	-0.50	-6.67	<0.001
Gender	0.05	0.12	0.02	0.42	0.67
Socio-economic Status	0.20	0.09	0.15	2.22	0.03

One-way ANOVA

Table 7 presents the results of the ANOVA comparing self-esteem across different levels of bullying frequency.

Table 7: ANOVA Results (Self-Esteem by Bullying Frequency)

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	p
Between Groups	40.50	3	13.50	21.60	<0.001
Within Groups	185.20	296	0.63		
Total	225.70	299			

Table 8 presents the results of the ANOVA comparing GPA across different levels of bullying frequency.

Table 8: ANOVA Results (GPA by Bullying Frequency)

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	p

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	p
Between Groups	15.60	3	5.20	21.00	<0.001
Within Groups	73.40	296	0.25		
Total	89.00	299			

Summary of Results

- **Prevalence of Bullying**: 60% of students experienced verbal bullying, 30% physical bullying, and 20% cyberbullying.
- **Self-Esteem**: Bullying negatively correlated with self-esteem ($r = -0.65$, $p < 0.01$). Regression analysis showed bullying significantly predicted lower self-esteem ($\beta = -0.65$, $p < 0.001$).
- **Academic Achievement**: Bullying negatively correlated with GPA ($r = -0.50$, $p < 0.01$). Regression analysis indicated bullying significantly predicted lower GPA ($\beta = -0.50$, $p < 0.001$).
- **ANOVA Results**: Significant differences in self-esteem and GPA were found across different levels of bullying frequency ($p < 0.001$).

These results highlight the profound negative impact of bullying on both self-esteem and academic achievement among secondary school students, emphasizing the need for effective intervention strategies to combat bullying and support affected students.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide significant insights into the impact of bullying on secondary school students' self-esteem and academic achievement. The results indicate that bullying is a prevalent issue among secondary school students, with 60% experiencing verbal bullying, 30% physical bullying, and 20% cyberbullying. These findings align with previous research, which consistently demonstrates the widespread nature of bullying in educational settings (Nansel et al., 2001; Olweus, 1993).

Impact on Self-Esteem

One of the most striking findings of this study is the strong negative correlation between bullying and self-esteem ($r = -0.65$, $p < 0.01$). The regression analysis further supports this relationship, showing that bullying is a significant predictor of lower self-esteem ($\beta = -0.65$, $p < 0.001$). These results corroborate earlier studies that have documented the adverse psychological effects of bullying on victims, including diminished self-worth, increased feelings of helplessness, and social isolation (Hawker & Boulton, 2000; Rigby, 2003).

The negative impact of bullying on self-esteem can be understood through the lens of social and psychological theories. For instance, the Social Comparison Theory suggests that individuals evaluate themselves based on comparisons with others. Victims of bullying often face negative comparisons and derogatory remarks, leading to internalized feelings of inferiority and low self-esteem (Festinger, 1954). Additionally, repeated exposure to bullying can result in learned helplessness, where victims feel powerless to change their situation, further eroding their self-esteem (Seligman, 1972).

Impact on Academic Achievement

The study also found a significant negative correlation between bullying and academic achievement ($r = -0.50$, $p < 0.01$), with regression analysis confirming that bullying significantly predicts lower GPA ($\beta = -0.50$, $p < 0.001$). This finding is consistent with existing literature that

highlights the detrimental effects of bullying on academic performance (Juvonen, Nishina, & Graham, 2000; Glew et al., 2005).

Several mechanisms can explain the negative impact of bullying on academic achievement. Bullying-induced stress and anxiety can impair cognitive functions such as memory, attention, and concentration, which are crucial for learning and academic success (Swearer, Espelage, Vaillancourt, & Hymel, 2010). Additionally, victims of bullying may exhibit higher rates of absenteeism as they attempt to avoid their aggressors, leading to missed instructional time and gaps in learning (Espelage & Swearer, 2004). The fear and distraction caused by bullying can also reduce students' engagement and participation in classroom activities, further hindering their academic performance (Juvonen, Nishina, & Graham, 2000).

ANOVA Findings

The ANOVA results revealed significant differences in self-esteem and GPA across different levels of bullying frequency ($p < 0.001$). This finding suggests that the more frequently students experience bullying, the more pronounced the negative effects on their self-esteem and academic achievement. These results emphasize the importance of addressing bullying promptly and effectively to prevent its escalation and mitigate its impact on students' well-being and educational outcomes.

Limitations and Future Research

While this study provides valuable insights, it is not without limitations. The cross-sectional design limits the ability to draw causal inferences, and the reliance on self-reported data may introduce biases such as social desirability bias. Future research could benefit from longitudinal designs that track the long-term effects of bullying on self-esteem and academic achievement. Additionally, incorporating objective measures of academic performance, such as standardized test scores, could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of bullying.

Further research is also needed to explore the effectiveness of various intervention strategies in different cultural and socio-economic contexts. Understanding the specific needs and challenges of diverse student populations can help in designing targeted interventions that are more effective in reducing bullying and supporting affected students.

Conclusion

The findings of this study underscore the significant negative impact of bullying on secondary school students' self-esteem and academic achievement. The high prevalence of bullying and its detrimental effects highlight the urgent need for comprehensive, school-wide interventions to address this issue. By fostering a positive school climate and providing support for victims, schools can help mitigate the impact of bullying and promote the well-being and academic success of all students. The results of this study contribute to the growing body of literature on bullying and provide a foundation for future research and intervention efforts.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended that schools implement comprehensive, evidence-based anti-bullying programs involving the entire school community to promote empathy, respect, and positive peer relationships. Effective programs, such as the Olweus Bullying Prevention Program, have been shown to reduce bullying incidents and improve school climate. Regular training for teachers, administrators, and school staff on recognizing, responding to, and preventing bullying is essential. This training should include strategies for creating supportive classroom environments, handling bullying incidents effectively, and

providing support to both victims and perpetrators of bullying. Educating students about bullying and its effects should be an integral part of the school curriculum, with programs that teach social-emotional skills, conflict resolution, and assertiveness to empower students to stand up against bullying and support their peers. Schools should also provide accessible support services for students affected by bullying, including counseling services, peer support groups, and safe spaces where victims can seek help and feel supported. Ensuring confidentiality and sensitivity in these services is crucial to encourage students to come forward. Additionally, parental involvement should be encouraged, as parents play a vital role in addressing and preventing bullying. Schools can engage parents through workshops, informational sessions, and regular communication about their child's well-being and the school's anti-bullying policies.

References

1. Espelage, D. L., & Swearer, S. M. (2004). Research on school bullying and victimization: What have we learned and where do we go from here? *School Psychology Review, 33*(3), 365-383.
2. Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations, 7*(2), 117-140.
3. Glew, G. M., Fan, M. Y., Katon, W., Rivara, F. P., & Kernic, M. A. (2005). Bullying, psychosocial adjustment, and academic performance in elementary school. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 159*(11), 1026-1031.
4. Hawker, D. S. J., & Boulton, M. J. (2000). Twenty years' research on peer victimization and psychosocial maladjustment: A meta-analytic review of cross-sectional studies. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 41*(4), 441-455.
5. Juvonen, J., Nishina, A., & Graham, S. (2000). Peer harassment, psychological adjustment, and school functioning in early adolescence. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 92*(2), 349-359.
6. Merrell, K. W., Gueldner, B. A., Ross, S. W., & Isava, D. M. (2008). How effective are school bullying intervention programs? A meta-analysis of intervention research. *School Psychology Quarterly, 23*(1), 26-42.
7. Nansel, T. R., Overpeck, M., Pilla, R. S., Ruan, W. J., Simons-Morton, B., & Scheidt, P. (2001). Bullying behaviors among US youth: Prevalence and association with psychosocial adjustment. *JAMA, 285*(16), 2094-2100.
8. Olweus, D. (1993). *Bullying at school: What we know and what we can do*. Blackwell Publishing.
9. Rigby, K. (2003). Consequences of bullying in schools. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 48*(9), 583-590.
10. Seligman, M. E. P. (1972). Learned helplessness. *Annual Review of Medicine, 23*(1), 407-412.
11. Swearer, S. M., Espelage, D. L., Vaillancourt, T., & Hymel, S. (2010). What can be done about school bullying? Linking research to educational practice. *Educational Researcher, 39*(1), 38-47.
12. Thapa, A., Cohen, J., Guffey, S., & Higgins-D'Alessandro, A. (2013). A review of school climate research. *Review of Educational Research, 83*(3), 357-385.
13. Ttofi, M. M., & Farrington, D. P. (2011). Effectiveness of school-based programs to reduce bullying: A systematic and meta-analytic review. *Journal of Experimental Criminology, 7*(1), 27-56.