VJ VIDEO JOURNAL OF LINGUISTICS
Vol. 1 No. 4 (2026)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

FRACTURED IDENTITIES AND PERFORMING SELVES: THEATRICAL REPRESENTATIONS OF MEMORY, TRAUMA, AND PERSONAL TRANSFORMATION

Video Journal of Linguistics | Vol. 1 No. 4 (2026)
Research Area: Contemporary Drama, Identity Studies, Performance Criticism

Rafey Konain
BS English Literature, Institute of English Studies (IES), University of the Punjab Lahore.
Email: rafeykonain965@gmail.com

Abstract
This article examines how contemporary drama stages fractured identity through the intertwined operations of memory, trauma, and personal transformation. It argues that theatrical performance offers a particularly productive medium for representing selves that cannot be reduced to a stable, continuous narrative: the actor's body, repetition, silence, temporal disruption, and the visible relation between performer and role can make the instability of recollection perceptible. Drawing on memory studies, trauma theory, performance criticism, and theories of identity as relational and performative, the paper develops a qualitative, interpretive framework for reading selected dramaturgical strategies rather than claiming empirical findings from a single production. It discusses fragmentation, repetition, embodied testimony, role-playing, and the ethical position of spectatorship, while emphasizing that theatrical representation is not equivalent to clinical treatment and that trauma should not be aestheticized as spectacle. The analysis proposes that transformation on stage is better understood as an ongoing negotiation among personal memory, social narratives, and embodied presence than as a simple return to a unified self. By connecting textual form with performance practice, the article contributes a cross-disciplinary account of how theatre makes visible both the limits of remembering and the possibilities of re-narrating identity. It concludes that responsible trauma-centred performance preserves ambiguity, attends to the agency of represented subjects, and invites audiences to witness without assuming that every wound can be resolved.
Keywords: *fractured identity; memory; trauma; contemporary drama; performance; embodiment; personal transformation; spectatorship*

1. Introduction

Identity is often narrated as though it were a continuous story in which remembered experiences lead logically from the past to the present. Contemporary drama frequently unsettles this assumption. Characters may speak through contradictory versions of events, return to moments that appear unfinished, inhabit roles imposed by family or society, or discover that their memories do not provide a complete account of who they are. In performance, such fractures are not only described in dialogue; they are made perceptible through bodies, voices, pauses, spatial arrangements, lighting, sound, and the repetition of actions. The stage therefore becomes a site where identity is both represented and performed.

This article explores the relationship among fractured identity, traumatic memory, and personal transformation in contemporary drama. Its central claim is that theatrical form can express the discontinuities of memory without pretending to recover a wholly transparent past. Fragmented

chronology, repeated gestures, silence, doubling, role-play, and shifts between first-person testimony and theatrical construction can dramatize the tension between what a character remembers, what can be said, and what remains inaccessible. Such devices also challenge spectators to consider how identities are shaped by personal experience and by the social narratives through which experience becomes meaningful.

The study treats identity not as an immutable inner essence but as a process negotiated across time, relationships, language, and embodied action. This approach does not imply that a person is merely pretending to be someone else. Rather, it recognizes that people occupy multiple social roles and revise their understanding of themselves as circumstances change. Trauma can intensify the difficulty of maintaining a coherent self-narrative, but it does not affect everyone in the same way, and dramatic representations should not be mistaken for clinical descriptions.

The article addresses three questions: (1) How do dramatic structures and performance techniques represent disrupted or contested memory? (2) How do bodies, roles, and acts of repetition make identity appear unstable, relational, or performative? (3) What ethical responsibilities arise when theatre represents traumatic experience for an audience? The discussion synthesizes theoretical scholarship and uses illustrative examples from performance and trauma studies to develop an interpretive framework. It is a conceptual and critical study, not a report of interviews, experiments, or a newly collected production dataset.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

2.1 Memory, narrative, and the self

Memory is not a neutral archive from which the past can be retrieved without mediation. Remembering involves selection, interpretation, and the influence of present concerns. Narrative offers one way of organizing remembered experience, giving events sequence and significance; yet narratives can remain incomplete or conflict with other accounts. The self is consequently sustained through stories that may be revised as new relationships, evidence, and perspectives emerge. Ellen Kaplan's discussion of acting, writing, and self-narrative similarly emphasizes that stories can give temporary coherence to a self that changes across contexts (Kaplan).

On stage, a character's memory may be conveyed through monologue, interrupted speech, a recurring object, or an event replayed with altered emphasis. These techniques expose the distance between an event and its later narration. A scene repeated by different characters, for example, may not produce one definitive account; instead, variation makes visible the competing interests and partial knowledge that shape remembrance. Dramatic form can thus represent memory as an activity undertaken in the present rather than as a simple window onto the past.

2.2 Trauma and the limits of representation

Trauma theory has often examined experiences that resist orderly narration and return indirectly through repetition, silence, bodily response, or gaps in recollection. In theatre, these features can be translated into dramaturgical patterns: a scene may loop rather than progress, dialogue may fail to complete an account, and a performer's body may communicate tension that words cannot fully explain. Suzanne Little's analysis of trauma and verbatim performance notes the significance of fractured, repetitive sound and image in staging testimony, while recent scholarship on visual and performative poetics considers how absence and interrupted memory can be made perceptible without forcing a complete reconstruction (Little; Breyer).

These ideas require caution. Not every fragmented narrative signifies trauma, and not every traumatic experience produces the same symptoms or response. Theatre can create a space for witnessing and public acknowledgment, but a performance is not automatically therapeutic. Krueger highlights the tension between the potential for communal recognition and the risk that insensitive representation may intensify distress (Krueger). A responsible analysis therefore distinguishes artistic strategies from clinical diagnosis and avoids treating suffering as a decorative device.

2.3 Performativity, embodiment, and social identity

Performance provides a way to examine how identity is enacted through gestures, speech, costume, posture, and interaction. An actor embodies a role while remaining visibly distinct from it; this double status can illuminate the gap between a person and the identities assigned to them. Characters may perform respectability, obedience, masculinity, femininity, professional competence, or emotional control because a social environment rewards some presentations and punishes others. When these performances become difficult to sustain, the stage can reveal the pressures beneath apparently stable identities.

Embodiment is crucial because memory is not represented only as verbal content. A repeated movement, a reluctance to cross a threshold, a changed breathing rhythm, or a silence at a particular phrase can suggest how the past inhabits present action. The body should not be read as a transparent diagnostic instrument; its meanings remain culturally and theatrically mediated. Instead, bodily performance adds another interpretive layer that may confirm, complicate, or contradict spoken testimony.

2.4 Theatre as a space of witnessing

Theatre gathers performers and spectators in a shared event, making the act of witnessing part of the work's meaning. Research on performance and historical violence shows how theatrical practices can bring suppressed histories into public view while questioning whose testimony is authorized and whose experience remains marginalized (de Smet et al.). The audience is not simply a passive recipient: spectators interpret pauses, bodies, omissions, and conflicting narratives, and they may become aware of their own expectations about what a credible account should look like.

This does not mean that spectators can fully know another person's experience. Ethical witnessing requires attention to difference, context, and the limits of representation. The stage may invite empathy while resisting the assumption that identification with a character grants ownership of that character's pain. Such restraint is especially important where productions draw on real testimony, histories of violence, or experiences belonging to vulnerable communities.

3. Methodology

This article uses a qualitative, interpretive methodology grounded in close reading and performance criticism. It synthesizes scholarship on memory, trauma, embodiment, and theatre, then organizes the discussion around five analytical features: fragmented temporality, repetition, silence and absence, role doubling, and spectatorship. These features are treated as interpretive lenses rather than as a universal checklist or a diagnostic scale.

The method is conceptual rather than empirical. No interviews, surveys, participant observations, or newly collected performance recordings were used for this paper. Illustrative references to published research identify established critical conversations; they do not constitute a claim that one specific play or production has been exhaustively analyzed here. A future case-study version could

apply this framework to a clearly defined corpus of plays, published scripts, and recorded performances, specifying selection criteria and documenting scene-level evidence.

The analysis follows three principles. First, it distinguishes a play's textual structure from the choices made in a particular production. Second, it interprets formal devices in context instead of assuming that fragmentation always signifies trauma. Third, it considers the ethical consequences of representing suffering, including questions of agency, consent, cultural difference, and the possibility of re-traumatization.

4. Analysis and Discussion

4.1 Fragmented time and the instability of recollection

Nonlinear dramaturgy can undermine the expectation that a character's history will unfold as a single, stable sequence. A present-tense conversation may be interrupted by a remembered exchange; a scene may return later with altered details; or a future possibility may be staged beside a past event. These arrangements make chronology an interpretive problem. The audience must decide how separate moments relate, while recognizing that the character may not possess a complete account.

Fragmentation becomes especially meaningful when the form exposes the difference between remembering and explaining. A character might recall a sensory detail but be unable to narrate the surrounding event, or might tell a polished story that is unsettled by a gesture or another character's version. Rather than treating such contradictions as simple deception, performance criticism can ask what social pressures make one account speakable and another difficult to acknowledge. The resulting identity is provisional: the character's present self is shaped by a past that remains under interpretation.

A fractured structure can also challenge the audience's desire for closure. If a play refuses to place every memory in a final explanatory order, it may suggest that understanding does not require total recovery. The absence of a definitive account can be an aesthetic and ethical choice, especially when the represented event exceeds what language or conventional plot can responsibly contain.

4.2 Repetition, reenactment, and the return of the past

Repetition is a powerful theatrical mechanism because the same action can be performed again while never being entirely identical. A line repeated with a changed tone, a gesture replayed by another performer, or a scene enacted from a different perspective exposes the instability of meaning. The audience observes both recurrence and difference: the past appears to return, but its return is shaped by the present body and the conditions of performance.

In trauma-centred drama, repetition may evoke the persistence of unresolved experience. Yet repetition should not automatically be interpreted as a symptom or as evidence that a character is trapped. It may also represent rehearsal, resistance, ritual, collective memory, or an attempt to gain control over a story previously imposed by others. The critical task is to examine what changes across repetitions, who directs them, and whether the character gains or loses agency.

The live nature of theatre intensifies this ambiguity. Each performance occurs in a new moment, with new spectators, even when the script remains constant. As scholarship on performance and traumatic testimony suggests, theatrical repetition can make the past present without guaranteeing that the original event is reproduced or fully understood (Little). Reenactment is therefore better understood as an encounter with traces and interpretations than as a perfect restoration.

4.3 Silence, absence, and the unsayable

Silence is not simply an absence of information. In drama, it can register fear, refusal, uncertainty, grief, social constraint, or a deliberate withholding of consent. Pauses, unfinished sentences, muted sound, empty space, and missing characters may direct attention to the limits of a narrative. The audience is invited to notice what cannot be easily converted into dialogue, but should not be encouraged to fill every gap with an unsupported explanation.

A dramaturgy of absence can be particularly effective when the play acknowledges that some experiences cannot be neatly summarized. Breyer's study of contemporary performance discusses how visual and performative strategies can render traumatic rupture perceptible through gaps and failures of reconstruction rather than through a linear account alone (Breyer). In this approach, the incompleteness of the performance is not necessarily a flaw. It may preserve the difference between witnessing a representation and possessing another person's experience.

Silence also has a social dimension. Characters may be silenced by institutional authority, family expectations, stigma, or unequal access to public speech. When a performance makes these structures visible, it moves beyond an exclusively private account of distress and asks how social power influences which memories are believed, recorded, or ignored.

4.4 The body as a site of memory and transformation

The actor's body offers a present-tense medium through which the past can be suggested. A performer may repeat a small movement, avoid a particular space, or shift posture when a name is spoken. Such choices do not provide direct access to a character's interior life; rather, they establish a theatrical relationship between bodily action and remembered meaning. The audience interprets that relationship in conjunction with dialogue, staging, rhythm, and context.

Embodied performance can also disrupt the distinction between a self and the roles it has learned to occupy. A character may speak with a parent's phrasing, imitate an authority figure, or enact a socially expected identity while revealing discomfort with it. Doubling—when one actor plays several roles, or when several actors represent aspects of one character—can make these layers visible. The self appears less like a single voice than a changing arrangement of inherited language, social demands, bodily memory, and personal choice.

Transformation, in this framework, does not require the character to erase the past or arrive at a perfectly integrated identity. It may involve recognizing a previously denied memory, revising a familiar story, refusing an imposed role, or learning to live with contradictions. Such changes can be small and unfinished. Their dramatic force lies in the altered relationship between the character and the narratives that once seemed to define them.

4.5 Performing selves and social expectations

The title's idea of 'performing selves' refers both to theatrical acting and to the everyday enactment of identity. In social life, people adjust how they speak, behave, and present themselves in response to audiences and expectations. Drama makes this process visible by placing role and performer in proximity. A character may perform strength to protect vulnerability, compliance to avoid punishment, or certainty to satisfy an institution. When these performances crack, the audience sees the labour required to maintain a socially acceptable self.

A nuanced account must avoid suggesting that every social role is false or that an authentic identity lies untouched beneath performance. Roles can provide belonging, safety, and meaningful forms of self-expression as well as impose constraint. The relevant question is not whether a character

performs, but how freely the role can be negotiated, whose interests it serves, and what happens when the character attempts to change it.

Personal transformation is thus relational. A character's new self-understanding may depend on being heard by another person, gaining access to a different community, or challenging a public account that has misrepresented them. Theatre can dramatize these negotiations without promising that recognition will resolve every conflict. The self remains a process, shaped by both personal agency and conditions beyond individual control.

4.6 Spectatorship and the ethics of witnessing

When audiences encounter staged trauma, they may feel empathy, discomfort, curiosity, or resistance. These responses are not inherently proof of ethical engagement. A production can turn suffering into spectacle if it relies on shock without context, reduces a character to victimhood, or treats pain as a shortcut to emotional intensity. Conversely, a restrained performance may invite sustained attention by allowing contradiction and uncertainty to remain.

Ethical witnessing begins by asking who has the right to tell a story, how the represented person's agency is preserved, and whether the production acknowledges the limits of its own perspective. Documentary and verbatim forms raise additional questions about consent, editing, anonymity, and the relation between a recorded voice and the performer who embodies it. The authority of testimony should not be used as a theatrical guarantee that every detail is transparent or uncontested.

The audience also has a responsibility not to confuse emotional identification with complete understanding. A spectator may recognize an aspect of their own experience in a character, but that recognition does not make the character's history interchangeable with their own. Theatre's ethical potential lies partly in sustaining this distance: it can create a shared space for attention while preserving the irreducible difference between people.

The work of de Smet and colleagues on performance and histories of violence emphasizes the capacity of artistic practices to engage with silenced narratives, collective remembrance, and the politics of witnessing (de Smet et al.). Such engagement is most productive when performance neither claims to repair historical harm by itself nor abandons the responsibility to represent it carefully.

5. Findings and Interpretive Propositions

Because this is a conceptual study rather than an empirical investigation, its outcomes are best stated as interpretive propositions. First, fragmented chronology can represent identity as a continuing negotiation between past and present rather than as a completed biography. Second, repetition is theatrically ambivalent: it may suggest recurrence and constraint, but it can also create opportunities for altered emphasis, resistance, or renewed agency. Third, silence and absence can communicate the limits and politics of narration, provided critics do not impose meanings unsupported by the performance.

Fourth, the body provides a medium through which memory and social identity become theatrically legible, but bodily signs remain open to interpretation and should not be treated as clinical evidence. Fifth, role doubling and visible performance can expose how identities are shaped by social expectations without implying that all roles are inauthentic. Finally, the ethics of spectatorship is central to trauma-centred drama: witnessing is most responsible when it combines empathy with humility, contextual awareness, and respect for the agency of represented subjects.

Taken together, these propositions suggest that transformation is rarely a simple movement from fragmentation to wholeness. A more persuasive model is one of ongoing negotiation in which characters gain new ways to relate to memory, other people, and inherited narratives. A play may end with uncertainty and still stage a significant change if the character's relationship to that uncertainty has shifted.

6. Conclusion

This article has examined fractured identity and performing selves through the intersecting themes of memory, trauma, and personal transformation in contemporary drama. It has argued that theatrical performance can render discontinuous experience perceptible through fragmented time, repetition, silence, embodied action, role doubling, and the active position of spectators. These devices do more than illustrate a character's inner condition: they reveal how identity is narrated, contested, embodied, and negotiated in relation to social power.

The central contribution of the framework is its refusal to equate transformation with complete recovery or narrative closure. A character may change by revising an inherited story, refusing a role, acknowledging a gap in memory, or finding a way to speak without claiming that every uncertainty has been resolved. Such endings can be meaningful precisely because they preserve the complexity of lived experience. Theatre's value lies not in offering a universal cure for trauma, but in creating forms through which difficult histories and contested identities can be encountered critically.

The study has limitations. It is a theory-led synthesis and does not provide an exhaustive close reading of a defined set of plays or original audience research. Future research could apply the framework to selected contemporary works across linguistic and cultural contexts, compare scripts with live or recorded productions, and examine how casting, translation, sound, video, and audience arrangement shape the representation of memory. Such work should attend to cultural specificity and to the difference between artistic interpretation and clinical claims.

Ultimately, fractured identity on stage need not be understood as a failed self. It can be a truthful dramatic form for selves that are multiple, relational, and still becoming. By allowing memory to remain partial and transformation to remain unfinished, contemporary theatre can invite audiences to witness with greater care and to question the stories through which individuals and communities define who they are.

References

Breyer, Lea. "The Word – The Great Enigma": Visual and Performative Poetics of Staging Traumatic Memory. Diffractions, 2026. https://journals.ucp.pt/index.php/diffractions/article/view/17986.

de Smet, Sofie, Marieke Breyne, Pedzisai Maedza, and Christel Stalpaert, editors. Violence and Trauma in Contemporary Performance: Removing and Remembering Histories. Leuven University Press, 2025. https://doi.org/10.2307/jj.24299183.

Kaplan, Ellen. "Fragments of a Self: Embodiments, (Re)enactments, and Re-encounters with Memory." Memory, Trauma and Narratives of the Self, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2024, pp. 133–149. https://scholarworks.smith.edu/the_books/7/.

Krueger, Anton. "All Wounds Speak – Performing Trauma/Witnessing Grief." South African Theatre Journal, vol. 37, no. 3, 2024, pp. 175–184. https://doi.org/10.1080/10137548.2024.2391809.

Little, Suzanne. “Repeating Repetition: Trauma and Performance.” Performance Research, vol. 20, no. 5, 2015, pp. 44–50. https://doi.org/10.1080/13528165.2015.1095923.

Juers-Munby, Karen. “‘Did You Mean Post-Traumatic Theatre?’: The Vicissitudes of Traumatic Memory in Contemporary Postdramatic Performances.” Contemporary Theatre Review, 2009. Lancaster University Research Directory, https://research.lancaster-university.uk/en/publications/did-you-mean-post-traumatic/.