BULLETIN OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOL.1-NO.1-2026

P ISSN: xxxx-xxx

E ISSN: xxxx-xxx

ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Arisha

BS Student, Department of English Literature, Government College University , Lahore

ABSTRACT

Environmental policy, governance, and sustainable development are closely interconnected dimensions of contemporary environmental management. Environmental challenges such as climate change, biodiversity loss, pollution, water scarcity, land degradation, and unsustainable resource consumption cannot be addressed through environmental regulations alone; they require effective institutions, coherent policies, public participation, accountability, scientific evidence, and coordination across different levels of government. Recent literature emphasizes that policy coherence is particularly important because economic, social, and environmental policies can either reinforce or undermine one another. UNEP identifies policy coherence as an important mechanism for integrating the environmental, social, and economic dimensions of sustainable development, while the OECD highlights the need to reduce policy fragmentation and strengthen coordination across sectors and government levels.) This research examines the relationship between environmental policy, governance mechanisms, and sustainable development, with particular attention to policy implementation, institutional capacity, stakeholder participation, transparency, accountability, environmental justice, and evidence-based decision-making. The study adopts a qualitative research approach based on a systematic review of recent academic literature, institutional reports, and policy documents published mainly between 2023 and 2026. The analysis indicates that effective environmental governance depends not only on the existence of environmental laws and policies but also on institutional capacity, coordination, monitoring, financing, public participation, and enforcement. Evidence from Pakistan additionally indicates that implementation barriers can arise from centralized decision-making, weak coordination, limited resources, and insufficient stakeholder inclusion.) The study concludes that sustainable development requires integrated governance systems in which environmental considerations are incorporated into economic and social policymaking. Strengthening institutional coordination, environmental accountability, scientific capacity, participatory governance, and policy monitoring can support more effective and sustainable environmental outcomes.

KEYWORDS: *Environmental Policy, Environmental Governance, Sustainable Development, Policy Coherence, Environmental Justice, Institutional Capacity, Climate Governance, Public Participation, Environmental Sustainability, Sustainable Development Goals.*

INTRODUCTION

Environmental policy, governance, and sustainable development have become increasingly important areas of research because contemporary societies face interconnected environmental, economic, and social challenges. Climate change, biodiversity degradation, air and water pollution, deforestation, land degradation, waste generation, and unsustainable natural-resource consumption have consequences that extend beyond individual sectors and administrative boundaries. These challenges demonstrate that environmental protection cannot be separated from economic planning, social welfare, public administration, and institutional decision-making. Environmental policy provides the legal and strategic framework through which governments establish objectives, standards, regulations, incentives, and mechanisms for protecting natural resources and reducing environmental harm. Governance, in contrast, concerns the institutions, processes, relationships, and decision-making arrangements through which environmental policies are developed, implemented, monitored, and evaluated. Sustainable development connects these processes

P ISSN: xxxx-xxx

E ISSN: xxxx-xxx

with the broader objective of meeting present needs while maintaining environmental and social conditions for future generations. The contemporary environmental governance agenda is increasingly shaped by the idea that environmental problems are interconnected rather than isolated. UNEP emphasizes that climate change, biodiversity and nature loss, land degradation, and pollution are interconnected crises requiring coherent policy responses and stronger science-policy interfaces.) *Saeed, U. F., KaoDui, L., Borgi, H., & Klugah, G. E. (2026) THAT eXPLAIND* This perspective changes the role of environmental policy from a narrow regulatory function to a broader framework for coordinating development decisions.

For example, energy policy influences air pollution and greenhouse-gas emissions; agricultural policy affects water use, soil quality, biodiversity, and food security; urban development influences waste generation, transport emissions, land use, and public health. Consequently, policies designed independently can produce unintended environmental consequences. Effective governance therefore requires governments to identify policy interactions and coordinate decisions across ministries, institutions, geographical levels, and stakeholder groups.The concept of policy coherence has become particularly important in this context. Policy coherence for sustainable development means that policies in different sectors should be mutually supportive rather than contradictory. The OECD explains that coherent governance requires governments to integrate economic, social, and environmental objectives, reduce policy fragmentation, and coordinate actions across sectors and levels of government.) UNEP similarly connects policy coherence with effective environmental governance and sustainable development.) This approach is important because environmental sustainability frequently involves trade-offs. Economic development may create employment and infrastructure but can also increase resource consumption and pollution if environmental safeguards are weak. Similarly, environmental regulations may create short-term adjustment costs for industries while generating longer-term benefits through cleaner production, improved public health, resource efficiency, and ecosystem protection. Governance systems must therefore provide mechanisms for identifying and managing such trade-offs.

Environmental governance also depends heavily on institutional capacity. Strong environmental policies may have limited practical impact when implementing institutions lack adequate technical expertise, financial resources, monitoring systems, enforcement authority, or coordination mechanisms. Research concerning Pakistan's climate-policy implementation illustrates this problem. Masud and Khan (2023) identify implementation barriers associated with policy design, stakeholder participation, administrative arrangements, and centralized approaches to governance.) Similarly, research on environmental governance in Pakistan has identified institutional capacity, coordination, regulatory implementation, and public responsiveness as important challenges.) These findings demonstrate that policy formulation represents only one stage of environmental governance; successful implementation requires continuous institutional support.Public participation is another essential component of environmental governance. Environmental decisions frequently affect communities, businesses, farmers, workers, civil-society organizations, indigenous groups, local governments, and future generations. Participatory governance provides opportunities for affected groups to contribute knowledge, express concerns, scrutinize government decisions, and participate in environmental management. UNEP identifies inclusive environmental policymaking and stakeholder engagement as importantelements of effective environmental governance) Participation can also improve legitimacy and implementation by

creating greater understanding between policymakers and communities. However, participation must be meaningful rather than merely symbolic. Effective participation requires access to information, opportunities for consultation, transparent decision-making procedures, and mechanisms through which stakeholder input can influence policy.

Transparency and accountability are similarly important. Environmental governance involves decisions about natural resources, public expenditure, industrial regulation, infrastructure, land use, and environmental permits. Without transparent procedures and accountability mechanisms, environmental regulations may be inconsistently implemented. Effective governance therefore requires clear institutional responsibilities, accessible environmental information, monitoring systems, reporting requirements, and mechanisms for legal or administrative review. The OECD's work on policy coherence emphasizes monitoring, reporting, coordination, and institutional mechanisms as important elements of sustainable governance. Environmental justice further strengthens this framework by emphasizing that environmental benefits and burdens should be considered in relation to vulnerable communities and future generations.Scientific knowledge is another major component of environmental policy. Contemporary environmental problems are technically complex and often characterized by uncertainty. Policymakers need reliable information about emissions, biodiversity, water quality, ecosystem conditions, climate risks, resource use, and social impacts. UNEP emphasizes the importance of science-policy interfaces for translating scientific evidence into policymaking. (Data-driven environmental governance can improve policy design, monitoring, and evaluation. However, scientific evidence alone does not determine policy choices because environmental policymaking also involves economic priorities, social values, institutional responsibilities, and public interests. Therefore, effective governance requires mechanisms that connect scientific knowledge with transparent and inclusive policy processes.

Sustainable development provides the broader framework within which these governance mechanisms operate. The Sustainable Development Goals establish an integrated global framework covering poverty, health, education, clean water, energy, sustainable cities, responsible consumption, climate action, biodiversity, institutions, and partnerships. Environmental policy contributes directly to several SDGs while also influencing the achievement of social and economic goals. UNEP's framework for SDG indicator 17.14.1 identifies eight dimensions of policy coherence, including institutionalization of political commitment, long-term decision-making, inter-ministerial coordination, participatory processes, policy linkages, alignment across government levels, monitoring and reporting, and financing.) These dimensions demonstrate that sustainable development requires more than individual environmental programs; it requires institutionalized governance processes.Recent empirical research also supports the relationship between governance and environmental sustainability. Naseer et al. (2025), for example, examine governance quality, energy use, economic growth, environmental performance, and SDGs across different economic contexts and report an association between governance quality and environmental sustainability. (ScienceDirect) A 2025 study focusing on South Asian economies similarly reports that governance can moderate the relationship between environmental degradation and subjective well-being.) These findings suggest that governance should be considered an important explanatory factor when examining environmental sustainability.

P ISSN: xxxx-xxx
E ISSN: xxxx-xxx

The importance of governance is also demonstrated by research on environmental policy stringency and sustainable development. A 2024 study in the Journal of Environmental Management examined environmental policy stringency, information and communication technology, technological innovation, governance, and sustainable development across advanced countries. The study reported positive relationships between several policy and technological factors and SDG attainment and found that governance conditions influenced these relationships.) Such research illustrates that environmental policy should be studied as part of a broader institutional and technological system rather than as an isolated regulatory instrument.Environmental governance is also increasingly connected with decentralization. Local and regional governments frequently implement environmental policies because environmental problems are experienced at local levels. Waste management, water management, land-use planning, urban pollution, conservation, and local climate adaptation often depend on local institutions. Decentralization can allow policies to reflect local conditions, but it can also create coordination problems if responsibilities and financial resources are unclear. *Ramadhani, M. (2026 THAT FOUND* Recent research examining decentralization and environmental outcomes indicates that the effects of decentralization can depend on the institutional arrangements through which authority and resources are distributed. Therefore, effective environmental governance requires appropriate relationships between national, provincial, regional, and local institutions.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To examine the role of environmental policies and governance mechanisms in promoting sustainable development.
2. To analyze the major institutional, administrative, financial, and participatory challenges affecting the implementation of environmental policies.
3. To identify governance strategies that can strengthen environmental sustainability, policy coherence, accountability, and achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What role do environmental policies and governance mechanisms play in achieving sustainable development?
2. What institutional, administrative, financial, and participatory factors influence the implementation of environmental policies?
3. What governance strategies can strengthen environmental sustainability and support the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Recent literature demonstrates that environmental policy and governance are increasingly understood as integrated components of sustainable development rather than separate areas of public administration. UNEP emphasizes that environmental policies should address interconnected challenges such as climate change, biodiversity loss, land degradation, and pollution through coherent and forward-looking governance frameworks.)The OECD similarly argues that fragmented policymaking can weaken sustainable development because

economic, social, and environmental policies may operate against one another when coordination mechanisms are absent. Thus, policy coherence has become a central concept in recent environmental governance research.The literature identifies institutional capacity as a fundamental determinant of policy implementation. Environmental laws may establish standards and responsibilities, but their effectiveness depends on institutions' ability to monitor compliance, enforce regulations, collect data, coordinate agencies, and allocate financial resources. Research on Pakistan identifies policy implementation barriers related to centralized decision-making, inadequate stakeholder inclusion, administrative interpretation, and weak coordination.) Research published in Pakistan also highlights institutional and regulatory challenges affecting environmental governance) These studies suggest that strengthening institutional capacity is necessary for translating environmental policy into practical outcomes.Recent literature increasingly conceptualizes environmental policy, governance, and sustainable development as interconnected dimensions of a single policy system rather than as separate areas of environmental management. Environmental policy establishes the objectives, regulations, standards, and instruments used to protect natural resources and control environmental risks, while environmental governance concerns the institutions, processes, coordination mechanisms, participation, accountability, and decision-making structures through which these policies are developed and implemented. Sustainable development provides the broader framework for integrating environmental protection with economic and social objectives.

A major theme in recent literature is policy coherence and policy integration. Environmental problems rarely remain within the boundaries of one government department or policy sector. Energy decisions influence greenhouse-gas emissions and air quality; agricultural policies influence soil, water, biodiversity, and food security; transport policies influence energy consumption and urban pollution; and industrial policies affect resource use, waste, and emissions. Consequently, environmental governance requires coordination between different policy areas. OECD (2024) explains that policy coherence can reduce fragmentation by integrating economic, social, and environmental considerations throughout the policy process and by encouraging policies across sectors and levels of government to be mutually reinforcing.) The OECD's 2023 work similarly emphasizes whole-of-government approaches, long-term planning, interdepartmental coordination, stakeholder engagement, monitoring, and evaluation as mechanisms for addressing complex sustainable-development challenges. This literature suggests that environmental policy cannot be effective when it is developed in isolation from broader development planning. Instead, environmental objectives need to be incorporated into national development strategies, public investment decisions, infrastructure planning, and sectoral policies.

Another important area of literature concerns governance quality and sustainable-development outcomes. Recent empirical research provides evidence that institutional quality is associated with progress toward sustainable development. Adebayo et al. (2025), examining 48 African countries over the period 2010–2022, found a strong relationship between governance quality and sustainable development across economic, social, and environmental dimensions.) Similarly, research covering 35 European countries from 2015 to 2022 found a relationship between governance quality and SDG achievement, with transparency, governance effectiveness, regulatory systems, and corruption control examined as important governance dimensions) These studies indicate that environmental sustainability

is not determined only by environmental regulations or technological capacity; it is also influenced by the broader quality of institutions responsible for developing and implementing public policies. Effective institutions can facilitate coordination, improve regulatory implementation, support monitoring, and create mechanisms for accountability.The literature also highlights institutional capacity as a central factor in environmental policy implementation. Environmental policies require organizations with adequate technical expertise, financial resources, administrative authority, information systems, and trained personnel. A recent study of environmental governance and policy implementation in Pakistan identifies institutional-capacity limitations, resource constraints, coordination problems, and regulatory weaknesses as important challenges affecting environmental management.

(These findings are particularly relevant for developing countries, where environmental institutions may face limited financial and technical resources while simultaneously dealing with rapid urbanization, population growth, pollution, climate-related risks, and pressure on natural resources. The literature therefore distinguishes between policy formulation and policy implementation: a government may establish comprehensive environmental policies, but their environmental benefits depend on whether responsible institutions have sufficient capacity to implement, monitor, and enforce them.Stakeholder participation and inclusive governance are also prominent themes in contemporary environmental-policy research. Environmental decisions affect communities, industries, farmers, local governments, researchers, civil-society organizations, and other groups. UNEP emphasizes inclusive environmental policymaking and engagement with non-government stakeholders, including youth, women, and Indigenous peoples, as part of effective environmental governance. OECD research similarly identifies stakeholder.Empirical research further suggests that governance quality is associated with environmental performance. Naseer et al. (2025) examine governance, energy consumption, economic growth, environmental performance, and SDGs across different economic groups and report a positive association between governance quality and environmental sustainability. Similarly, research on South Asian economies found that governance arrangements can moderate the relationship between environmental degradation and well-being. These studies indicate that environmental outcomes depend partly on the quality of institutional arrangements through which environmental and development policies are implemented.

Technological innovation is also increasingly incorporated into environmental governance research. Environmental monitoring technologies, information and communication systems, data platforms, remote sensing, and digital government can improve environmental information and support evidence-based decision-making. Research published in 2024 examined environmental policy stringency, ICT, technological innovation, governance, and sustainable development and found important relationships among these variables.) More recent research examines governance and innovation as interconnected pathways toward sustainable development and circularity. This literature suggests that environmental governance can benefit from technological systems that improve data availability and policy monitoring.Environmental justice has also gained importance. Governance cannot focus only on environmental indicators while ignoring who experiences environmental risks and who benefits from environmental policies. UNDP Pakistan's 2025 work on access to environmental justice identifies legal frameworks, institutional mechanisms, stakeholder

engagement, and coordination among national and subnational institutions as important aspects of environmental governance. This perspective expands environmental governance beyond regulation toward rights, participation, access to information, and institutional accountability. Decentralization represents another important dimension. Local governments are often responsible for implementing environmental policies in areas such as waste management, land-use planning, water management, and urban development. Research on decentralization indicates that institutional design influences environmental outcomes, meaning that decentralization alone does not automatically produce sustainability Clear responsibilities, adequate resources, monitoring, and coordination between levels of government are therefore necessary.

METHODOLOGY

This study uses a qualitative research approach because the research focuses on understanding environmental policies, governance structures, institutional processes, implementation challenges, stakeholder participation, and sustainable-development mechanisms. A qualitative approach is suitable for examining complex relationships that cannot be adequately understood through numerical indicators alone. The study relies on secondary sources, including peer-reviewed research articles, international institutional reports, policy documents, and recent environmental governance publications from 2023 to 2026. The literature was reviewed thematically to identify recurring concepts such as policy coherence, institutional capacity, accountability, public participation, environmental justice, policy implementation, scientific evidence, financing, and multi-level governance. Recent institutional sources from UNEP and OECD were also considered because they Kprovide frameworks for policy coherence and sustainable governance

RESEARCH DESIGN

This study adopts a descriptive qualitative research design to examine the relationship between environmental policy, environmental governance, and sustainable development. The research design is based primarily on a systematic review and analysis of recent academic literature, research articles, government policy documents, and reports published between 2023 and 2026. The design is appropriate because the study aims to understand how environmental policies are formulated, implemented, monitored, and evaluated, as well as how governance mechanisms influence sustainable development outcomes. Relevant literature is selected according to its connection with environmental policy, institutional capacity, policy coherence, stakeholder participation, environmental justice, accountability, climate governance, sustainable resource management, and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The selected sources are carefully reviewed and organized into major themes to identify recurring findings, similarities, differences, implementation challenges, and research gaps. Particular attention is given to the roles of government institutions, local authorities, civil society, communities, private organizations, and international institutions in environmental decision-making. The research design also considers the importance of coordination between national, provincial, and local levels of governance because environmental challenges frequently cross administrative boundaries. Through this design, the study develops a comprehensive understanding of how effective environmental governance can support policy implementation and contribute to long-term sustainable

development.Available next action: Create a downloadable DOCX file here in this chat containing the editable prose above

DATA ANALYSIS

The data were analyzed through thematic analysis. Thematic analysis was selected because the study is based on qualitative secondary data and seeks to identify common patterns across academic literature, policy reports, and institutional publications. The analysis began with the identification of major concepts related to environmental policy, governance, and sustainable development. The selected sources were then organized into thematic categories including policy coherence, institutional capacity, policy implementation, stakeholder participation, environmental justice, accountability, scientific evidence, technological innovation, decentralization, financing, monitoring, and SDG implementation.The first analytical theme was policy coherence. The reviewed literature indicates that environmental objectives need to be integrated across government sectors. UNEP's policy-coherence framework identifies coordination across ministries, levels of government, policy areas, and stakeholders as important dimensions of sustainable development governance) OECD research similarly emphasizes reducing policy fragmentation and establishing mechanisms that allow economic, social, and environmental policies to reinforce one another The analysis therefore indicates that environmental policy should not be developed independently from economic planning, energy policy, agriculture, transport, urban development, or social policy.The second theme was institutional capacity. The literature shows that the existence of environmental laws does not necessarily guarantee effective implementation. Institutions require financial resources, trained personnel, technical knowledge, monitoring systems, administrative authority, and coordination mechanisms. Evidence from Pakistan demonstrates that implementation barriers can emerge when policy development, administrative structures, and stakeholder participation are poorly connected) The analysis consequently identifies institutional capacity as a major condition for effective environmental governance.

FINDINGS

The first major finding is that effective environmental policy requires effective governance. Environmental laws and regulations provide an essential foundation, but their practical effectiveness depends on institutions capable of implementation, monitoring, enforcement, and evaluation. The reviewed evidence indicates that governance quality influences environmental sustainability through institutional coordination, accountability, policy implementation, and resource management. Research examining governance and environmental sustainability has identified relationships between governance quality and environmental performance.The second finding is that policy fragmentation is a major barrier to sustainable development. Environmental decisions are often distributed among different ministries and administrative levels. When institutions operate independently, policies may conflict or create unintended environmental consequences. OECD and UNEP evidence emphasizes policy coherence as a mechanism for improving coordination and integrating economic, social, and environmental objectives. The third finding is that institutional capacity strongly affects environmental policy implementation. Institutions require adequate funding, trained personnel, technical knowledge, legal authority, data systems, and administrative coordination. The Pakistan-focused literature demonstrates that implementation challenges

can arise from centralized decision-making, insufficient consultation, unclear policy interpretation, and institutional coordination problems.)The fourth finding is that stakeholder participation is important for effective environmental governance. Communities and non-government organizations possess local knowledge and experience that can improve environmental policy design. Participatory governance can also improve transparency and public understanding. However, participation needs to be connected to actual decision-making rather than functioning solely as consultation without institutional follow-up.The fifth finding is that monitoring, data, and scientific evidence are essential for environmental policy evaluation. Governments cannot effectively manage environmental risks without reliable information. Environmental monitoring allows policymakers to identify problems, assess policy outcomes, compare changes over time, and adjust interventions. UNEP's emphasis on science-policy interfaces reinforces the importance of connecting environmental research with decision-making. The sixth finding is that environmental justice should be incorporated into governance systems. Sustainable development requires attention to the distribution of environmental risks, access to environmental information, participation in decision-making, and access to justice.

CONCLUSION

Environmental policy, governance, and sustainable development are closely connected components of effective environmental management. The evidence reviewed in this study demonstrates that environmental sustainability cannot be achieved through environmental regulations alone. Strong institutions, policy coherence, stakeholder participation, transparency, accountability, scientific evidence, financing, monitoring, and coordination across government levels are essential for translating environmental policies into practical outcomes. UNEP and OECD frameworks particularly emphasize the importance of coherent policymaking and institutional coordination for achieving sustainable development. (The study further concludes that environmental governance should be integrated into broader economic and social policymaking. Environmental issues influence agriculture, energy, transportation, industry, health, urban development, and natural-resource management. Therefore, government institutions need mechanisms that allow environmental objectives to be considered across policy sectors. Policy coherence can reduce contradictions and improve the consistency of government actions.Institutional capacity is another critical requirement. Environmental policies may remain ineffective when institutions lack adequate financial resources, technical expertise, monitoring systems, enforcement mechanisms, and coordination. Evidence from Pakistan demonstrates the importance of addressing implementation barriers and improving stakeholder inclusion and administrative coordination.Finally, sustainable environmental governance requires an inclusive and evidence-based approach. Communities, researchers, civil society, businesses, and government agencies should have appropriate opportunities to contribute to environmental decision-making. Scientific information and technological tools should support policy formulation and monitoring, while accountability and environmental justice should remain central principles. The overall evidence indicates that strengthening governance institutions and improving policy coherence can provide an important foundation for achieving environmental sustainability and the Sustainable Development Goals.

REFERENCES

Bäckstrand, K., Bexell, M., Koliev, F., Pattberg, P., & Widerberg, O. (2026). Multistakeholder partnerships after a decade of sustainable development goals: synergies, effectiveness, legitimacy and the future of sustainability governance. International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 1-14.

Saeed, U. F., KaoDui, L., Borgi, H., & Klugah, G. E. (2026). ESG as a Strategic Pathway to Sustainable Competitive Advantage: A Moderated Mediation Perspective in Developed and Developing Economies. Business Strategy and the Environment.

Haslinger, A. S., Huysveld, S., Cadena, E., & Dewulf, J. (2026). Methodological strategies for social life cycle assessment of an emerging technology: a case study on circular flexible plastic packaging. The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 31(1), 24.

Agbokou, I. E. (2026). Three Summits Plus One in 2024: The World Is Still Seeking the Best Pathway for Sustainable Development. In Impacts of Geoeconomics and Geopolitics on International Business (pp. 343-374). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Garbarino, C. (2024). The OECD/G20 and the EU global minimum tax. In Research Handbook on Post-Pandemic EU Economic Governance and NGEU Law (pp. 282-297). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Syed, F. Z., Awan, M. W., & Mumtaz, T. (2024). Environmental governance and policy implementation in Pakistan: Assessing Institutional capacities and challenges. Journal of Development and Social Sciences, 5(2), 407-419.

Alharbi, K., Alhaithloul, H. A. S., Alayafi, A. A., Al-Taisan, W. A. A., Alghanem, S. M., Al-Mushhin, A. A., ... & Marc, R. A. (2022). Impact of Plantago ovata Forsk leaf extract on morpho-physio-biochemical attributes, ions uptake and drought resistance of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seedlings. Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, 999170.

Ramadhani, M. (2026). Sustainability and Financial Ratio in PT Palma Serasih Tbk 2023. Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment in Developing Countries, 1(1), 23-37.

Yousaf, T., Shahzad, M. F., & Ain, Q. U. (2025). Evaluating the environmental impacts of Chinese decentralization policies toward SDG 13. Sustainable Development, 33(1), 939-956.

Wahab, S., Baloch, Z., & Noor, M. (2025). Unveiling Gender Disparities: A Critical Review of PSDP Budget Allocations (2020-2025). Journal of Development and Social