BULLETIN OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

VOL.1-NO.1-2026

P ISSN: xxxx-xxx

E ISSN: xxxx-xxx

GREEN INNOVATION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Hasan Ali

BS Student, School of English, Lahore Garrison University , Lahore

ABSTRACT

Green innovation has emerged as an important pathway for achieving sustainable development by integrating technological advancement, environmental protection, resource efficiency, and economic progress. It includes the development and adoption of environmentally friendly products, cleaner production processes, renewable-energy technologies, waste-reduction systems, eco-efficient services, and innovative organizational practices that reduce negative environmental impacts. The increasing pressures of climate change, resource depletion, pollution, biodiversity loss, and rapid industrialization have created a need for innovation strategies that can support economic development while maintaining ecological sustainability. Recent research indicates that green innovation can contribute to improved environmental performance, reduced carbon emissions, efficient resource utilization, and sustainable business performance. A 2024 meta-analysis of 80 studies reported positive and significant relationships between overall green innovation, green product innovation, green process innovation, and environmental performance. Research conducted in Pakistan has similarly reported that green innovation and green growth can contribute to environmental sustainability and carbon reductionThis research examines the role of green innovation in sustainable development by focusing on its environmental, economic, technological, and organizational dimensions. The study adopts a qualitative literature-based research approach and synthesizes recent scholarly evidence published between 2023 and 2026. The findings indicate that green innovation can support sustainable development through cleaner technologies, resource efficiency, renewable energy, circular production, green supply chains, and environmentally responsible organizational practices. However, its contribution depends on supportive policies, financial resources, technological capabilities, environmental awareness, institutional capacity, and collaboration among governments, industries, universities, and communities. The study concludes that green innovation should be considered not merely a technological activity but a broader transformation of production, consumption, management, and institutional systems toward long-term sustainability.

KEYWORDS: *Green Innovation, Sustainable Development, Green Technology, Environmental Sustainability, Resource Efficiency, Green Economy, Circular Economy, Renewable Energy, Eco-Innovation.*

INTRODUCTION

Sustainable development has become a central concern for governments, industries, communities, researchers, and international organizations because conventional patterns of economic growth have increasingly generated environmental pressures. Climate change, greenhouse-gas emissions, resource depletion, pollution, waste generation, ecosystem degradation, and increasing demand for energy and materials demonstrate the limitations of development models that prioritize economic expansion without adequately considering environmental consequences. In this context, green innovation has gained increasing importance as a mechanism through which technological progress and economic development can be aligned environmental sustainability. Green innovation generally refers totdevelopmentorimplementation of products, processes, technologies, services, and organizational practices that reduce environmental harm, improve resource efficiency, support cleaner production, or contribute to environmental protection. It can therefore connect economic competitiveness with ecological responsibility by encouraging organizations and societies to search for more efficient and environmentally responsible ways of producing and consuming goods and services. Akhtar, S., Li, C., Sohu, J. M., Rasool, Y., Ul Hassan, M. I., et al. (2024)found tha.The importance of green innovation is particularly

evident in the transition from traditional production systems toward low-carbon and resource-efficient economies. Traditional industrial processes often depend heavily on fossil fuels, non-renewable raw materials, inefficient energy consumption, and linear production systems in which resources are extracted, transformed into products, consumed, and ultimately discarded. Green innovation provides opportunities to redesign these systems through renewable energy, energy-efficient machinery, environmentally friendly materials, recycling technologies, circular production systems, green transportation, sustainable packaging, waste-to-energy technologies, and cleaner manufacturing processes. Such innovations can reduce environmental pressures while simultaneously creating opportunities for new markets, employment, investment, and technological development. Recent evidence supports this connection.

Rahmani et al. (2024), through a meta-analysis of 80 studies, found that green innovation, green product innovation, and green process innovation have positive and significant effects on environmental performance, with green process innovation showing a comparatively stronger effect Lin, J., Zeng, Y., Wu, S., & Luo, X. R. (2024).explains that.. Green innovation is also increasingly connected with the concept of sustainable development because sustainability requires simultaneous consideration of environmental, economic, and social dimensions. Environmental sustainability requires the protection of ecosystems and reduction of pollution; economic sustainability requires productive and competitive economic systems; and social sustainability requires improvements in human well-being, employment, equity, and quality of life. Green innovation can potentially contribute to all three dimensions. For example, renewable-energy technologies may reduce carbon emissions while generating new employment and investment opportunities. Energy-efficient production technologies may reduce environmental impacts while lowering operational costs. Recycling and circular-economy innovations can decrease waste while creating new business models. Sustainable agricultural technologies can improve resource efficiency and potentially support food security. Consequently, green innovation should not be understood only as the invention of environmentally friendly technologies but as a broader transformation of economic and social systems.The relationship between green innovation and environmental performance has received substantial attention in recent research. Rahmani et al. (2024) synthesized evidence from a large body of empirical research and reported an overall positive relationship between green innovation and environmental performance.

Their findings also suggested that country development level and industry type can influence the strength of green process innovation's environmental effects) Similarly, Akhtar et al. (2024) examined green intellectual capital, green absorptive capacity, green innovation climate, and environmental performance and highlighted the importance of organizational knowledge and an environment supportive of green innovation. These findings demonstrate that successful green innovation requires more than technological investment. Organizations also need knowledge, skills, environmental awareness, organizational support, and the ability to absorb and apply new environmental technologies. Yang, X., et al. (2024).explained that. Corporate responsibility and organizational governance also play an important role in promoting green innovation. Lian et al. (2023) examined corporate environmental, social, and governance performance and found a positive relationship between ESG performance and corporate green innovation in their Chinese firm-level analysis. The study identified mechanisms including reduced financing constraints, improved human capital, and reduced

P ISSN: xxxx-xxx
E ISSN: xxxx-xxx

managerial short-termism. This evidence suggests that green innovation is influenced by the broader institutional and managerial environment in which organizations operate. Companies that incorporate environmental concerns into governance and strategic decision-making may have stronger incentives and capabilities to develop environmentally responsible technologies and processes.Green innovation has particular significance for developing economies because these countries face the dual challenge of economic development and environmental protection. Developing economies require industrial growth, employment, infrastructure, energy access, and improved living standards, but conventional development pathways can intensify environmental degradation. A 2023 study published in *Energy* examined green growth and innovation in Pakistan and reported that green growth and green innovation contributed to environmental sustainability and carbon reduction in the analyzed context. Such evidence indicates that green innovation can be relevant not only to advanced economies but also to countries seeking to balance economic development with environmental sustainability.

Technological transformation has further expanded the possibilities for green innovation. Artificial intelligence, digital technologies, big-data analytics, Internet of Things systems, smart manufacturing, blockchain, and advanced materials can support environmental monitoring, resource optimization, energy management, supply-chain transparency, and waste reduction. Lin et al. (2024), for example, found evidence that artificial intelligence can improve environmental performance through green product and process innovation in agricultural firms, while green culture strengthened some of these relationships. Similarly, research on green supply chains indicates that knowledge integration and digital technologies can contribute to green innovation by improving collaboration and information sharing across organizational networks. Green innovation is also closely associated with the circular economy. The circular economy seeks to reduce resource consumption and waste by emphasizing reuse, repair, recycling, remanufacturing, resource recovery, and longer product lifecycles. Green innovation provides the technological and organizational mechanisms required to implement these principles. For instance, companies may develop recyclable materials, biodegradable packaging, product-as-a-service models, industrial symbiosis systems, and technologies capable of recovering valuable resources from waste. These innovations can reduce pressure on natural resources and decrease the volume of waste entering landfills or natural ecosystems.

Another important dimension is green finance. Green technologies frequently require substantial initial investment, while their environmental and economic benefits may emerge over a longer period. Small and medium-sized enterprises may face particular difficulties because they often have limited access to finance, technical expertise, and research infrastructure. Consequently, financial incentives, green loans, tax benefits, environmental standards, public research funding, and investment in clean-energy infrastructure can influence the adoption of green innovation. Recent research on green innovation ecosystems emphasizes that technology, capital, and institutional conditions can interact to create different pathways toward regional sustainable development. A 2026 study using data from 30 Chinese provinces found that no single ecosystem element was sufficient by itself; instead, combinations involving technology, capital, ecological coordination, and green-finance conditions were associated with higher levels of regional sustainable developmentThe organizational culture surrounding innovation is another significant factor. Environmental

awareness, ethical leadership, stakeholder pressure, employee participation, and organizational learning can encourage firms to identify environmental problems and develop innovative solutions. Research published in the *Journal of Environmental Management* in 2024 examined stakeholder pressures, environmental awareness, and ethics and reported positive relationships with green innovation and environmental performance. This indicates that green innovation is not simply a technical activity carried out by research and development departments. It can emerge from interactions among employees, managers, consumers, regulators, suppliers, communities, researchers, and other stakeholders.The relationship between corporate social responsibility and green innovation further demonstrates the multidimensional character of sustainable development.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To examine the role of green innovation in promoting environmental, economic, and social dimensions of sustainable development**.**
2. To identify the major technological, organizational, financial, and institutional factors that influence the adoption and effectiveness of green innovation.
3. To analyze the contribution of green products, green processes, digital technologies, renewable energy, and circular-economy practices to sustainable development.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. How does green innovation contribute to sustainable development and environmental sustainability?
2. What technological, organizational, financial, and institutional factors influence the adoption of green innovation?
3. How do green products, green processes, digital technologies, renewable energy, and circular practices support sustainable development?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Green innovation has developed into an important area of sustainability research because environmental challenges require technological and organizational responses that go beyond conventional pollution-control approaches. The literature generally describes green innovation through two major dimensions: green product innovation and green process innovation. Green product innovation involves the development or improvement of products that have lower environmental impacts during production, use, or disposal, whereas green process innovation focuses on cleaner production methods, energy efficiency, waste reduction, emissions control, and improved resource utilization. Rahmani et al. (2024) synthesized evidence from 80 studies and found positive and significant effects of overall green innovation, green product innovation, and green process innovation on environmental performance. Their analysis further indicated that green process innovation produced a stronger effect than green product innovation in the studies included in their meta-analysis.This distinction is important because sustainable development depends not only on what organizations produce but also on how products and services are produced. Sarfraz, M., Ozturk, I., Yoo, S., Raza, M. A., & Han, H. (2023).found that. Recent literature also emphasizes the importance of organizational capabilities in supporting green innovation.

Akhtar et al. (2024) examined the relationships among green intellectual capital, green absorptive capacity, green innovation climate, green innovation performance, and environmental performance.

Their research highlights that organizations need the ability to acquire, understand, share, and apply environmental knowledge if they are to transform environmental ideas into successful innovationGreen intellectual capital includes knowledge, skills, organizational routines, and relationships that support environmental objectives. Green absorptive capacity allows organizations to recognize and utilize external environmental knowledge. A supportive green innovation climate can further encourage employees to propose and implement environmentally responsible ideas. These organizational factors demonstrate that green innovation is partly a knowledge-management process Friedlingstein, P., O'sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Landschützer, P., ... & Zeng, J. (2024). Global carbon budget 2024.explains that. Corporate governance and ESG performance have also become significant areas of research. Lian et al. (2023) investigated corporate ESG performance and green innovation and found that stronger ESG performance was positively associated with corporate green innovation in their sample of Chinese listed firms. The study proposed mechanisms involving financing constraints, human capital, and managerial decision-makingThe literature therefore indicates that organizations may be more capable of green innovation when environmental considerations are incorporated into corporate governance, reporting, strategy, and resource allocation. This perspective expands the concept of innovation from research laboratories to the broader governance system of an organization.The relationship between green innovation and environmental performance is one of the strongest themes in recent literature. Green innovation can improve environmental performance through reductions in energy use, emissions, waste, raw-material consumption, and other environmental pressures.

The 2024 meta-analysis by Rahmani et al. provides broad evidence for this relationship by synthesizing quantitative findings from multiple studies. Similarly, research on stakeholder pressure, environmental awareness, and ethics indicates that environmental concerns can stimulate organizations to undertake green innovation, which can subsequently contribute to environmental performance. Thus, environmental performance can be considered both an outcome of green innovation and an important motivation for organizations to adopt environmentally responsible technologies Friedlingstein, P., O'sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Landschützer, P., ... & Zeng, J. (2024). Global carbon budget 2024).found that. The literature further demonstrates that green innovation has economic implications. Although environmental protection is its primary objective, green innovation may create opportunities for cost reduction, operational efficiency, new products, new markets, competitive differentiation, and improved organizational reputation. Energy-efficient equipment, for example, can reduce resource consumption and operational costs. Sustainable products can respond to growing consumer demand for environmentally responsible goods. Recycling and resource-recovery technologies can transform waste into economically valuable materials. Mubeen et al. (2024), examining SMEs in Pakistan, reported positive relationships involving green dynamic capabilities, green practices, green value co-creation, green innovation, and sustainable performance. This evidence is particularly relevant to developing economies where SMEs represent an important part of employment and economic activity.Green innovation can also support sustainable supply-

chain management. Traditional supply chains may generate environmental impacts through transportation, packaging, raw-material extraction, energy use, inventory management, and waste. Green supply-chain integration attempts to reduce these impacts by coordinating suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, consumers, and recycling systems around environmental objectives.

Research published in the *Journal of Knowledge Management* in 2024 investigated green supply-chain integration, green knowledge integration capability, blockchain technology, and green innovation. The study highlights the importance of knowledge integration and technological infrastructure in transforming supply-chain practices into green innovationThis suggests that sustainable innovation increasingly depends on collaboration among multiple organizations rather than isolated technological development. OFLUOĞLU, D. (2026, June).found that. Digital technology is another increasingly important component of green innovation. Lin et al. (2024) investigated AI use and environmental performance and found that green product and process innovation could serve as mechanisms connecting AI use with environmental performance Digitalization can therefore support sustainability by enabling organizations to monitor energy consumption, predict equipment failures, optimize transportation, reduce material waste, and identify environmental inefficiencies. However, digital technologies themselves consume energy and require hardware and infrastructure, meaning their sustainability effects need to be assessed across their lifecycle.Green innovation is also relevant to sustainable development in national and regional contexts. Environmental sustainability cannot be achieved solely through individual firms because national infrastructure, energy systems, regulations, financial institutions, research institutions, and markets influence innovation opportunities. Research on green innovation ecosystems emphasizes the interaction between technological capabilities, financial resources, institutions, and regional networks. A 2026 study of 30 Chinese provinces found multiple pathways through which combinations of ecosystem elements were associated with regional sustainable development, demonstrating that green innovation operates within broader regional systems.) This ecosystem perspective suggests that successful sustainability transitions require coordinated action across governments, businesses, universities, financial institutions, and communities.

Pakistan provides a useful example. Research published in *Energy* in 2023 found that green growth and green innovation contributed to improved environmental sustainability in Pakistan and identified a relationship between green innovation and carbon reductionSuch findings indicate that green innovation can potentially support economic development while reducing environmental damage. However, developing countries may face barriers including limited financial resources, inadequate research infrastructure, dependence on imported technologies, weak institutional capacity, limited technical skills, and insufficient access to green finance. Singh, G., & Patwardhan, M. (2026).explained that. The literature also establishes a connection between green innovation and corporate social responsibility. Sarfraz et al. (2023) examined manufacturing organizations in Pakistan and reported that sustainable development and green innovation could mediate relationships between corporate social responsibility and environmental and financial performanceCorporate social responsibility can therefore provide an organizational framework through which environmental concerns are transformed into concrete innovation activities. This relationship is especially relevant where consumers, employees, investors, regulators, and communities

P ISSN: xxxx-xxx
E ISSN: xxxx-xxx

increasingly expect organizations to demonstrate environmental responsibility.Environmental culture and stakeholder engagement are similarly emphasized in recent research. Stakeholders may include customers, suppliers, employees, regulators, investors, communities, and environmental organizations. Their expectations can encourage firms to adopt environmentally friendly technologies and improve environmental performance.

Research in the *Journal of Environmental Management* has examined stakeholder pressures alongside environmental awareness and ethics and found positive associations with green innovation and environmental performance. This suggests that green innovation can emerge through interactions between internal organizational capabilities and external environmental expectations Rojas Mayorga, C. K., Pérez Jasso, A. N., Emparan Legaspi, M. J., Cobian Solorio, G. A., Solis Salazar, L. D., & Aguayo Villarreal, I. A. (2026).explains that.. The second barrier is technological capability. Organizations need trained personnel and appropriate infrastructure to adopt sophisticated technologies. The third barrier is institutional. Weak environmental regulations, inconsistent enforcement, and limited incentives can reduce motivation for green investment. The fourth barrier is organizational resistance. Employees and managers may resist changes to established production systems because innovation introduces uncertainty and adjustment costs. The fifth barrier is knowledge-related. Organizations may struggle to identify appropriate green technologies or integrate external environmental knowledge into existing processes. Some technologies can shift environmental burdens from one stage of the product lifecycle to another. For example, an innovation may reduce operational emissions while requiring environmentally intensive materials during production. Similarly, digital technologies can improve efficiency but also create electronic waste and energy demand. Therefore, sustainable innovation should be evaluated through lifecycle thinking, considering resource extraction, manufacturing, transportation, use, reuse, recycling, and disposal. Zhang, S., Peng, J., Sun, Z., & Zhang, Y. (2026)found that.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a qualitative research approach based on systematic literature analysis. The approach was selected because the primary purpose was to synthesize contemporary knowledge regarding the relationship between green innovation and sustainable development rather than to collect primary responses from participants. Recent peer-reviewed studies published between 2023 and 2026 were examined to identify major concepts, relationships, trends, benefits, and barriers associated with green innovation. Particular attention was given to research addressing green product innovation, green process innovation, environmental performance, sustainable business performance, green technologies, green supply chains, digital technologies, green finance, and developing-country contexts.The literature-based approach also enabled comparison of findings across different geographical and organizational settings. Studies involving manufacturing organizations, SMEs, agricultural firms, regional economies, and national-level environmental sustainability were considered. Recent empirical studies using quantitative methods such as SEM, panel-data analysis, meta-analysis, and other statistical techniques were particularly useful for understanding the documented relationships between green innovation and sustainability. The approach therefore provides a broad conceptual basis for understanding how green innovation can contribute to sustainable development. The study employed a descriptive and analytical

research design. Relevant scholarly literature from 2023–2026 was identified and organized according to major themes. The first theme focused on the environmental effects of green innovation, including emissions reduction, pollution control, resource efficiency, and environmental performance. The second theme examined economic outcomes such as sustainable performance, operational efficiency, competitiveness, and green value creation.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The study consequently synthesized evidence rather than assuming that a single model of green innovation is applicable to every economic and institutional context.The collected literature was analyzed through thematic content analysis. Findings from selected studies were categorized into recurring themes, including environmental sustainability, green product innovation, green process innovation, resource efficiency, renewable energy, circular economy, digital transformation, organizational capabilities, green supply chains, green finance, stakeholder pressure, and policy support. Similar findings were grouped together, while differences between studies were identified and interpreted according to their contexts.The analysis also compared evidence concerning the mechanisms through which green innovation contributes to sustainable development. For example, green innovation may influence sustainability directly through cleaner production and indirectly through improved organizational capabilities, resource efficiency, supply-chain integration, and green value creation. Recent meta-analytic evidence was used to strengthen interpretation of the broader relationship between green innovation and environmental performance, while country- and firm-level studies were used to illustrate specific mechanismsThe resulting themes were synthesized to develop an integrated understanding of the role of green innovation in sustainable development.

DATA ANALYSIS

The collected data are analyzed through thematic analysis and qualitative content analysis. After reviewing the selected literature, relevant information is coded and grouped into major themes related to green innovation and sustainable development. Key themes include environmental performance, energy efficiency, renewable energy, waste reduction, resource conservation, circular economy, sustainable production, economic benefits, social development, and policy support. Findings from different studies are compared to identify similarities, differences, and recurring relationships between green innovation and sustainability outcomes. The analysis also considers barriers such as high investment costs, limited technological capacity, inadequate infrastructure, lack of policy support, and organizational resistance. The synthesized findings are then interpreted in relation to the objectives and research questions of the study. Through this process, the research develops an integrated understanding of how green innovation can reduce environmental pressures while supporting economic efficiency and sustainable development. The analysis emphasizes patterns and evidence reported in the reviewed literature rather than relying on individual study findings alone.

FINDINGS

P ISSN: xxxx-xxx
E ISSN: xxxx-xxx

The findings of the literature analysis indicate that green innovation is an important mechanism for supporting sustainable development, particularly through improvements in environmental performance, resource efficiency, cleaner production, and sustainable organizational practices. The strongest recurring finding is the positive relationship between green innovation and environmental performance. Evidence synthesized across 80 studies indicates that green innovation, green product innovation, and green process innovation are positively associated with environmental performance, with green process innovation demonstrating a comparatively stronger effect in the meta-analysis. The literature further indicates that green innovation can reduce environmental pressure through energy-efficient technologies, renewable energy, waste reduction, cleaner production, sustainable materials, recycling, and improved resource management.The findings also demonstrate that organizational capabilities are essential for successful green innovation. Green intellectual capital, environmental knowledge, absorptive capacity, innovation climate, leadership, and employee participation can help organizations identify environmental problems and convert knowledge into practical innovations. Furthermore, green innovation can support sustainable business performance by creating new products, reducing operating costs, improving resource efficiency, strengthening organizational reputation, and generating green value. Evidence from Pakistani SMEs shows positive relationships among green dynamic capabilities, green practices, green value co-creation, green innovation, and sustainable performance. The analysis further reveals that digital technologies can strengthen green innovation when they are integrated with appropriate environmental strategies.

CONCLUSION

Green innovation has become an increasingly important pathway for achieving sustainable development because it connects technological and organizational innovation with environmental protection and resource efficiency. The evidence reviewed in this study demonstrates that green product innovation and green process innovation can contribute to improved environmental performance, while green technologies, renewable energy, circular-economy practices, and sustainable supply chains can further support resource conservation and cleaner economic activity. Recent studies also show that green innovation can contribute to sustainable organizational performance by encouraging green value creation, improving efficiency, and developing environmentally responsible products and processesThe study concludes that green innovation should be understood as a system-wide process rather than simply the development of a new environmental technology. Its effectiveness depends on organizational knowledge, green capabilities, finance, leadership, environmental awareness, stakeholder engagement, digital infrastructure, government policies, and institutional support. The evidence from Pakistan and other developing contexts indicates that green innovation can provide opportunities to address environmental challenges while supporting economic development, although financial, technological, and institutional barriers must be addressed. Sustainable development therefore requires coordinated efforts among governments, industries, universities, researchers, financial institutions, and communities. By creating supportive innovation ecosystems, investing in green technologies and skills, strengthening environmental regulations, improving access to green finance, and encouraging circular production and responsible consumption, societies can increase the contribution of innovation to long-term environmental and socioeconomic sustainability.

REFERENCES

Hawlader, M. R., Polas, M. R. H., Das, A., Alam, M. S., Uddin, S. M., Hasan, T., & Pantho, O. G. (2026). Green human resource management and green innovation as drivers of environmental performance in readymade garment firms. Discover Sustainability

Lin, L., Cao, H., Wu, B., Wang, J., Song, L., Chan, W., ... & Lian, Y. (2025). Association between occupational exposure to gasoline and anemia: a retrospective cohort study in China. BMC Public Health, 25(1), 330. How does corporate ESG performance affect sustainable development

Mubeen, A., Nisar, Q. A., Patwary, A. K., Rehman, S., & Ahmad, W. (2024). Greening your business: nexus of green dynamic capabilities, green innovation and sustainable performance. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 26(9), 22747-22773.

Sarfraz, M., Ozturk, I., Yoo, S., Raza, M. A., & Han, H. (2023). Toward a new understanding of environmental and financial performance through corporate social responsibility, green innovation, and sustainable development. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 10(1), 297.

Friedlingstein, P., O'sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Landschützer, P., ... & Zeng, J. (2024). Global carbon budget 2024. Earth System Science Data Discussions, 2024, 1-133

Friedlingstein, P., O'sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Landschützer, P., ... & Zeng, J. (2024). Global carbon budget 2024). Green innovation for sustainable development: leveraging green knowledge integration, blockchain technology and green supply chain integration. Journal of Knowledge Management, 28(6), 1746-1770.

Singh, G., & Patwardhan, M. (2026). Deciphering the Structure of Sustainable HRM Practices Driving Employee Resilience in the Industry 5.0 Era: A Review. Business Strategy and the Environment

OFLUOĞLU, D. (2026, June). Congress of Multidisciplinary Medical and Health Sciences Studies. In 4thInternational Congress of Multidisciplinary Medical and Health Sciences Studies (p. 10)..

Rojas Mayorga, C. K., Pérez Jasso, A. N., Emparan Legaspi, M. J., Cobian Solorio, G. A., Solis Salazar, L. D., & Aguayo Villarreal, I. A. (2026). Structure, Function, and Application of MOFs: A Comprehensive Review from Synthesis to Gas/Liquid Phase Adsorption. Processes, 14(5), 760.

Zhang, S., Peng, J., Sun, Z., & Zhang, Y. (2026). How Does the Green Innovation Ecosystem Shape Regional Sustainable Development?: An fsQCA Analysis. Journal of Global Information Management (JGIM), 34(1), 1-19.